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ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Anorectal malformations are relatively encountered anomalies. Presentations may vary from mild to severe and bowel control is the main concern. AIM: To study the modes of presentation, types of anomalies, associated anomalies, reliability of clinical signs and radiological investigations in the diagnosis and the prognosis and continence in the post-operative in relation to type of anomaly and associated anomaly (s). MATERIAL AND METHODS: 50 cases of anorectal malformations admitted to Department of Paediatric Surgery, in Medical College and Research Institute, were included in the study. Data related to the objectives of the study were collected. RESULTS: Commonest mode of presentation was failure to pass meconium 50%. 59% of males had high anomalies, while 53% females had intermediate anomalies. The diagnosis of low anomaly was made clinically, while high and intermediate anomalies needed further investigations. Associated anomalies were noted in 46.6% of the cases. 71.42% of these patients had either a high or intermediate ARM. All patients with high anomalies underwent a 3 stage procedure, while low anomalies underwent a single stage procedure followed by anal dilatations. Rectal mucosal prolapse (2 cases), wound infection (4 cases), stenosis (3 cases), retraction of neo anus (1 case) was seen. All the patients with low anomalies had a good functional result post operatively, while 57% and 28% of patients with intermediate and high anomalies had good results. CONCLUSION: Anorectal malformations are common congenital anomalies. Males are more commonly affected (1.3:1). Low anomalies are the commonest lesions noted in both the sexes (36.67%). High anomalies are more frequent in males. Invertogram offer an accurate diagnosis for planning management in patients with anorectal malformations. Low anomalies have a better outcome following surgery. For intermediate and high anomalies a staged repair offers better results. The situation would improve further if MRI Imaging is more readily available and these children are brought for appropriate treatment at the earliest.
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INTRODUCTION: Anorectal malformations (ARM) presents with imperforate anus and persistent cloaca occur 1 in 5000 live births and affect male and female equally. The embryological basis includes failure of descent of urorectal septum. The most frequent defect in males is imperforate anus with rectourethral fistula and in females rectovestibular defect. Approximately 60% of them have associated malformations. The most common is urinary tract defect occurs in 50% of patients.

They can have variable clinical presentations ranging from mild forms which require minor surgical interventions, complicated cases need to manage with multi-staged operations. ARM can be non-syndromic, syndromic, sporadic or familial with different modes of inheritance.1

The main concern for the future children with anorectal malformation is bowel control and urinary control. In extreme cases sexual function is also compromised. Most of the times, early diagnosis, followed by an efficient and meticulous repair results in good bowel control.

The present prospective study includes 50 consecutive cases of anorectal malformations admitted to Department of Paediatric Surgery.2
AIM: The present study was done to find out the various clinical manifestations, sex incidence, types, management and outcome of anorectal malformations.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: 50 cases were taken for clinical study and analysis. In these all types of congenital anorectal anomalies are included and importance is given to those cases where all stages of treatment and follow up are completed. A detailed history was recorded on admission. Special attention was given to the pregnancy history to detect any complications during pregnancy, Congenital malformation in the family and relatives were enquired into, apart from consanguinity and parity of the mother. Blood and urine examination, invertogram, ultrasound abdomen was done. The data presented in the form of a master chart.

Routine pre-operative preparations were done. Intraoperatively precautions were taken to prevent heat loss and to replace the blood and fluid loss. All cases were operated under general anaesthesia with endotracheal intubation. Operative procedures for-Low anomalies: Anoplasty, cutback V-Y Anoplasty, Primary minimal PSARP, Intermediate anomalies: Anoplasty followed by limited PSARP, High anomalies: 3 stage repair with colostomy, PSARP and colostomy closure was done. Oral fluids were started post operatively after the colostomy started functioning. Instructions on colostomy care were given on discharge and instructed to come for regular follow up to monitor the growth and development. If the general condition was good after an interval of 3-6 months, then they were subjected to the definitive procedure.

The definitive procedure after colostomy was a posterior sagittal anorectoplasty (PSARP) in our series. Distal colonic washes were done with normal saline to wash out debris from the blind rectal pouch at least 2 days prior to surgery. Oral fluids were started as early as the evening of surgery depending on the patients’ condition. Post operatively the patients were started on anal dilatation after 2 weeks. Once the desired size of the anus is achieved by dilatation, the colostomy was closed by a limited resection and anastomosis.

Then patient was kept nil by mouth till patient passed stools through the anus. Discharge from the hospital was on the 10th post-operative day after suture removal. Patients were advised to come for weekly follow up and to continue anal dilatations. During follow up the local area was examined and parents enquired about the bowel movements. Assessment was based on the Kelly score.

RESULTS: About 50 cases of congenital anorectal malformations were taken for the study. Out of 50 cases 27 patients were males and 23 were female patients. Out of 27 male patients 10 had high anomalies and 17 had low anomalies. Out of 23 female patients 2 had intermediate anomalies, 21 had low anomalies. Commonest mode of presentation in the neonatal period was the failure to pass meconium after birth with or without the presence of anal opening.

It was seen in 23 patients. Among the female patients 10 presented with passing of stools from vestibule/vagina. 11 patients presented with constipation or narrow anal opening and 16 patients presented with absent anal opening and history of not passing meconium since birth. 11 patients had anteriorly placed anal opening/ (Anterior ectopic anus). 7 patients presented with passing meconium in urine. Consanguineous marriage was noted in 8 out of the 50 cases.45 patients had a hospital delivery and 5 of them had a home delivery.
Table 1: Modes of presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Modes of presentation</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not passed stools / meconeum since birth</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anteriorly placed anal opening</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Meconeum in urine</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bulge in perineum with absent anus</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Constipation / narrow anal opening</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Passing meconeum from vagina/vestibule</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Vomiting / abdominal distention</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A clinical diagnosis of low and intermediate anomalies was made in almost all the cases in both males and females. Both intermediate anomalies were found in females, out of which both were rectovestibular fistulae. All the 10 high anomalies in the male patients had absent anal opening and needed invertogram for confirmation. A total of 10 out of 50 patients underwent preliminary colostomy. Transverse loop colostomy was performed in 7 patients and remaining 3 patients had sigmoid colostomy. Once the final diagnosis regarding the type of anomaly was made, it was found that low anomalies were more common than high anomalies, 38 and 10 cases out of 50 respectively.

Table 2: Sex wise incidence of anomalies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male patients</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High anomalies</td>
<td>10 patients. 3 had rectal atresia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low anomalies</td>
<td>17 cases (6 membranous anus, 6 anocutaneous fistula, 5 anal stenosis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Female patients</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High anomalies</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>2 rectovestibular fistula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low anomalies</td>
<td>21 cases (5 anocutaneous, 6 anal stenosis, 2 membranous anus, 8 anovestibular fistula)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloaca / rare</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fistula</th>
<th>Anocutaneous fistula</th>
<th>Anal stenosis</th>
<th>Membrane anus</th>
<th>Anovestibular fistula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>15.78%</td>
<td>13.15%</td>
<td>5.26%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>13.15%</td>
<td>15.78%</td>
<td>15.78%</td>
<td>21.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparative distribution of low anomalies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fistula</th>
<th>Present study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparative relation of fistula among males and females

Investigations were done for confirmation of diagnosis and to plan for treatment. Management for 1) High anomalies - All 10 patients were males, underwent colostomy. Subsequently underwent PSARP. Closure of colostomy was the final procedure undertaken once the perineal wound healed well and was dilated to adequate size. 2) Intermediate anomalies - 2 underwent cut back anoplasty as the primary procedure followed by limited PSARP. 3) Low anomalies - 8 cases of membranous anus underwent anoplasty. 11 of ano-cutaneous fistula, out of which 6 underwent cut back V-Y anoplasty and 5 underwent limited PSARP. 11 case of anal stenosis underwent V-Y anoplasty. 8 case of ano vestibular fistula underwent primary limited PSARP. Complications noted in low anomalies were wound infection (3 cases), anal stenosis (2 cases) and in colostomy were excoriation (4 cases), bleeding (1 case), constipation (1 case), and wound infection (2 cases).

Complications following the definitive procedure for high and intermediate anomalies were, stenosis, wound infection. Follow up period from 2 weeks to 2 years. The physical and mental development milestones of the child were assessed. The overall growth of the child was also monitored. The accurate assessment of the sphincteric control was possible only after the age of 2 years. Assessment of results was done based on the Kelly's score. A score of 5 – 6 was considered good, 3– 4 as fair and 1– 2 as poor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Results of surgery
**Type of anomaly** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Poor**
--- | --- | --- | ---
Low | 94.73% | 5.27% | 0%
Intermediate | 50% | 50% | 0%
High | 80% | 10% | 10%

**Table 6: Results in different anomalies**

**Sex** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Poor**
--- | --- | --- | ---
Males | 92.59% | 3.70% | 3.70%
Females | 86.95% | 13.05% | 0%

**Table 7: Results in males and females**
DISCUSSION: The present study of 50 cases of anorectal malformation (ARM) was meant to study the various types, mode of presentation and management. Incidence in India has been reported at 1:6000 live births. Our series has included sporadic referrals from around the region, from different districts and also children presenting later in life. Higher incidence of ARM among males. Low anomalies are the commonest type of ARM. Low anomalies are common in females and high anomalies are commoner in males. Anocutaneous fistula was the commonest in low anomalies. In intermediate anomalies, rectobulbar urethral fistula was the main anomaly noted in males, in females rectovestibular fistula was the commonest.

In high anomalies recto prostatic urethral fistula was the commonest case. Majority of patients with anorectal malformations present early in the neonatal period 48(96%) of them presented in the first 28 days of life. we had a higher incidence of neonatal presentations. Those who presented late were females with an external fistula that was mistaken for a normal anus. Associated with this these patients had an unattended birth at home where a thorough search for congenital anomalies is usually not made. Clinical presentation was newborn passing meconium/stools from an abnormal opening. The other group presented with symptoms suggestive of intestinal obstruction. In the study symptoms of not passing stools/meconium were the commonest presentation (46%). Diagnosis was made by clinical examination most often reveals the nature of anomaly by presence of an external fistula or meticulous perineal inspection.

The surgical procedures performed for low anomalies were anoplasty, cut back anoplasty, primary minimal PSARP. In our series we have performed 8 anoplasty, 6 cut back V-Y Anoplasty, 11 V-Y Anoplasty, 5 limited PSARP and 8 primary limited PSARP. The advantage of performing a posterior sugittal anoplasty in the situation is to replace the anus at its normal site without causing any injury to the adjacent structures. The outcome is uniformly good in all the procedures that are performed for low anomalies. For intermediate anomalies among 2 cases of Intermediate ARM, all were female patients had recto-vestibular fistula, underwent cut back anoplasty followed by limited PSARP. For high anomalies all the 10 patients underwent preliminary colostomy as part of 3 stage repair and subsequently underwent PSARP and colostomy closure. Postoperative complications
following colostomy are Diarrhoea, Prolapse, Excoriation, Haemorrhage, Retraction/Necrosis, Wound infection. In the study excoriation and wound infection was noted more often, Local complications of the neo-anus include wound infection, dehiscence, stenosis, retraction/necrosis and rectal mucosal prolapse. In the study, wound infection (5 cases), stenosis (2 cases), excoriation (4 cases), constipation (1 case) and bleeding (1 case) were noted. All of them were treated conservatively followed by anal dilatation. Abdominal complications noted were mild wound infection which was treated conservatively. The duration of follow up varies from 2 months to 24 months.

CONCLUSION: Males are more commonly affected by this condition (1.17:1). Most of the children (96 %) presented within 28 days after birth. Low anomalies are the commonest, noted in both the sexes (76.00%). High anomalies are more frequent in males. In the study high anomalies noted in males. Low anomalies have better outcome following surgery. For intermediate and high anomalies a staged repair offers better results, Posterior Sagittal Ano Rectoplasty (PSARP) approach in treating these patients’ offers more accurate correction. 90% of children had good results.
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