COMPARISON OF CLINICO-PATHOLOGICAL FEATURES AND PROGNOSIS OF TRIPLE-NEGATIVE AND NON-TRIPLE-NEGATIVE FEMALE BREAST CANCER PATIENTS

Nonam Chellappan¹, Smitha G. Raj²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Radiotherapy, Government T. D. Medical College, Alappuzha, Kerala, India. ²Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Government T. D. Medical College, Alappuzha, Kerala, India. **ABSTRACT**

BACKGROUND

Triple-negative breast cancer patients have no expression of Oestrogen Receptor (ER), Progesterone Receptor (PR) and there is neither expression nor amplification of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 in a tumour. But non-triple negative breast cancer patients have either oestrogen receptor or progesterone receptor or both positive with or without amplification of Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 in a tumour.

The purpose of this retrospective study is to compare and analyse the clinico-pathological features, recurrence, metastasis and prognosis of triple-negative breast cancer patients and non-triple negative breast cancer patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective descriptive study for a total of 200 stage III female breast cancer patients (100 triple-negative patients and 100 non-triple-negative patients) were diagnosed and treated at the Department of Radiotherapy, T.D Medical College Hospital, Alappuzha from January 1st 2011 to December 31st 2011. The clinical features, recurrence, metastasis and prognosis of the two groups were compared.

RESULTS

The triple-negative breast cancer patients were characterised as younger age, higher histological grade, bigger tumour size, higher clinical stage at diagnosis, more recurrence and metastasis, lower 5-year disease free survival rate and 5-year overall survival rate. The lungs, liver and brain were the first three most common sites of metastases.

CONCLUSION

In our study, we found that triple-negative breast cancer was a distinct subgroup of breast cancer with particular clinico-pathologic behaviour. Compared with the non-triple-negative breast cancer, triple-negative breast cancer was characterised by more aggressive behaviour, metastasis tendency and lower disease-free survival and overall survival rate. This result suggested that characteristics like family history, premenopausal status, tumour size, histological grade of triple-negative breast cancer patients had more local relapse and metastases than that of in non-triple-negative breast cancer that was statistically significant.

KEY WORDS

Clinico-Pathologic Behaviour, Triple-Negative Breast Cancer, Recurrence, Metastasis.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Chellappan N, Raj SG. Comparison of clinico-pathological features and prognosis of triple-negative and non-triple-negative female breast cancer patients. J. Evolution Med. Dent. Sci. 2018;7(30):3412-3418, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2018/770

BACKGROUND

Breast cancer has become one of the most common cancers in women all over the world. Tumours in the breast have long been classified according to their morphologic features, histologic type and grade (severity). Identification of molecular markers such as expression of the oestrogen (ER) and progesterone receptors (PR) and the Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) has offered additional predictive value for the therapeutic assessment of women diagnosed with breast cancer.¹⁻⁴ More recently, gene expression analysis using DNA microarray technology has identified additional breast tumour subtypes that were not apparent using traditional histopathologic methods.

Financial or Other Competing Interest': None. Submission 05-06-2018, Peer Review 11-07-2018, Acceptance 17-07-2018, Published 23-07-2018. Corresponding Author: Smitha G. Raj, Assistant Professor, Government T. D. Medical College Hospital, Alappuzha, Kerala, India. E-mail: achusnith605@gmail.com DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2018/770 Based on gene expression profiles, breast cancer can be classified into 5 main groups. $^{5\mathchar`-8}$

- Luminal A.
- Luminal B.
- Basal-like or Triple-negative.
- Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.
- Normal breast-like.⁶⁻⁹

Triple-negative cancer occurs only if there is no expression of Oestrogen Receptor (ER), Progesterone Receptor (PR) and there is neither expression nor amplification of Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 in a tumour.^{10,11} Basal-like tumours originate in the outer ("basal") cells that line the mammary ducts. Their incidence has been estimated to be between 13% and 25%12-13 of all breast cancer subtypes around the world $^{\rm 14,15}$ and 10% - 17%in western countries.¹⁶ Triple-negative disease is diagnosed more frequently in younger and premenopausal women¹⁷⁻²⁰ and is highly prevalent in African-American women reporting upto 30%.^{21-23,24-25} They are often aggressive²⁶⁻²⁸ and are associated with a prognosis poorer than those for the luminal A, luminal B and normal breast-like types.²⁷ Metastatically, they seem to disseminate to the axillary nodes and less frequently to bone.

Triple-negative cancer has attracted more attention, both clinically and experimentally because of its high-risk biological characteristics and lacking of effective treatment method. Patients with triple-negative cancer do not benefit from hormonal or targeted-based therapies because of the loss of target receptors such as ER, PR and HER-2. Hence, surgery chemotherapy and radiotherapy, individually or in combination appear to be the only available modalities. Triple-negative cancers are reported to respond to neoadjuvant chemotherapy,^{9,29,30} but overall survival in patients with such tumours is still poor and management of these patients may require more aggressive treatment.

The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 tumours are named for their status as HER2+. They tend to be ER-, PRand lymph node-positive with poorer grades. They may contain p53 mutations. The HER2+ have relatively poor prognoses and are prone to early and frequent relapse and to distant metastasis.^{27,31} The normal breast-like tumours are those that do not fall into any of the other categories. They account for 6% - 10% of all breast cancers. These tumours are usually small and typically have a good prognosis.³² They are more common in post-menopausal than in pre-menopausal women.

Objectives

To compare and analyse the clinico-pathological features, recurrence, metastasis and prognosis of patients with triplenegative breast cancer and non-triple-negative female breast cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design- A retrospective descriptive study.

Study Setting

Department of Radiotherapy, TDMC, Alappuzha.

Study Period- January 1st to December 31st 2011.

Sample Size

200 patients (100 patients from each arm).

All patients with tissue biopsy proved carcinoma breast registered to the Department of Radiotherapy at TD Medical College Hospital, Alappuzha would be taken into this study. The ER, PR and HER2/ErbB2 receptor in the tumour cell of these patients were assessed in the Department of Pathology in this Hospital. The patients were categorised as triplenegative if they are negative for ER, PR and HER2/ErbB2 (Cut-off of less than 1% positive tumour cells). The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 is assessed by means of IHC or FISH. Immunohistochemistry is scored on a qualitative scale from 0 to 3+ based on interpretation of membranous staining intensity where 0 and 1+ is classified as negative, 2+ as borderline and 3+ as positive. HER2 (++) tissues are reevaluated by FISH analysis and if the HER2 gene amplification copy-to-CEP17 ratio greater than 2.0 was accepted as HER2 positive. Tumour's pathological diagnosis are according to the WHO histological classification of breast tumour grade according to the modified Patley-Scarff scoring system and clinical stage according to the TNM criteria (Tumour-nodemetastasis).

Inclusion Criteria

- 1. All histologically proven stage IIIA carcinoma breast-Any size tumour with-
 - Metastases in ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes fixed of matted (N2a) or
 - Metastases, only in clinically apparent ipsilateral mammary nodes without clinically evident axillary lymph nodes (N2b).
- 2. Patients of age above 30 years and below 65 years.
- 3. Female sex.
- 4. Those with Karnofsky performance status > 60%.
- 5. Those with adequate haematopoietic, hepatic, cardiac and kidney function.

Data Collection Procedure

Between January 1st 2011 and December 31st 2011, a total of 200 stage III female breast cancer patients (100 triplenegative patients and 100 non-triple-negative patients) confirmed by surgery and pathological examination are taken for this study. These patients were treated with surgery, chemotherapy and radiation based on recommendation of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guidelines. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive patients were managed with trastuzumab or lapatinib and hormone receptor-positive patients were managed with hormone receptor-targeted therapies such as tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors. The patient's clinicopathological data and necessary investigations will be collected from case records in our department. Patient's follow-up were done once in two months initially, then the duration were increased to once in four months and then once in six months by regular clinical examination and necessary investigations in our outpatient department. Separate proforma will be filled for each patient and all those patients who fulfil the inclusion criteria will be categorised into their ER/ PR/ HER2 status. Relevant characteristics of these two groups for the last five years will be compared.

During follow-up patients might have recurrence or metastasis or death. Among these patients, probabilities of death from this cancer and other causes in the presence of competing risks (patients may have developed diabetic, renal, cardiac and neurological diseases during that period) were optimal measures of prognosis.

Statistical Method

All statistical calculations were performed using the SPSS 17.0 statistical software. Data analysis was done by using Pearson's Chi-square test. To compare the demographics and tumour characteristics between the patients with triple-negative breast cancer and non-triple negative breast cancer using a χ^2 -test for frequencies. For all analyses, p-values were two-sided and considered to be statistically significant if p-values were < 0.05.

Disease Free Interval

Is calculated in months by using the subject's date of completion of Chemotherapy and/ or Radiotherapy and one of the following: (a) Clinical evidence of Loco-regional recurrence and (b) Clinical and/ or Radiological evidence of systemic recurrence of breast cancer.

Local Recurrence

Is defined as the recurrence occurring in the affected side of breast or regional lymph node.

Distant Metastasis

Is defined as the clinical or radiographic examination showing as distant spread.

RESULTS

Disease Free Survival (DFS)

It was defined as the time from diagnosis of breast cancer to first loco-regional or distant recurrence.

Overall Survival (OS)

It was the time from breast cancer diagnosis to death.

Characteristics		TNBC (n= 100)	Non-TNBC (n= 100)	χ ²	df	р
٨٥٥	<35	12	6	2 1 0 9	1	0.120
Age	≥35	88	94	2.190		0.150
Manonausal status	No	46	48	0.090	1	0 777
Menopausai status	Yes	54	52	0.000		0.777
Eamily history	Yes	27	13	6 1 2 5	1	0.012
Family mistory	No	73	87	0.125		0.015
Tumour size	<5 cm	47	69	0.024	1	0.002
	≥5 cm	53	31	9.934		0.002
I	Yes	65	48	E 970	1	0.015
Lymphatic mvasion	No	35	52	5.079		0.015
Uistologia grado	Ш	21	20	0.021	1	0.961
nistologic grade	П	79	80	0.031		0.861
Clinical stage	$IIIA T_2N_2M_0$	28	22	0.000	1	0.227
Chinical stage	$IIIA T_3N_2M_0$	72	88	0.960		0.527
Т	able 1. The com	parison of Clinicopathol	ogical Features between tl	he Two Grou	ps	

N- Number of Patients.

This research showed that 46% of the patients with triple-negative cancer were premenopausal women, the triple-negative patients with age < 35 years old were more than the non-triple-negative cancer.^{33,34} In this study histological grade of triple-negative cancer was similar with non-triple-negative cancer, but the rate of the people who had the family history of breast cancer, the rate of lymphatic invasion and larger tumour size (more than 5 centimetres) in triple-negative cancer was higher than that of in non-triple-negative cancer that was statistically significant.³⁴

Local Recurrence or Distant Metastasis	Triple-Neg Breast Ca	Non-Tripl Breast	e-Negative Cancer	χ2	Р				
	Case	%	Case	%					
Local recurrence	10	10	4	4	2.765	0.096			
Distant metastasis	14	14	9	9	1.228	0.268			
Metastatic Site	N=14		N	=9					
Lungs	6	43	4	44	0.006	0.940			
Bones	1	7	1	11	0.109	0.742			
Liver	2	14	2	22	0.240	0.624			
Brain	5	36	2	22	0.471	0.493			
Death in recurrence	8	80	2	50	2.718	0.099			
Death in metastasis	12	86	5	70	2.584	0.108			
Table 2. The Comparison of Local Recurrence and Metastasis to different organs between the Two Groups									

N- Number of Patients.

The incidence of local recurrence and metastases to organs and death in the triple-negative patients was much higher than that in the non-triple-negative cancer patients during the first 5 years after diagnosis. The rate of visceral organs metastases was higher than the rate of bone metastases. The rate of lung and brain metastases in triple-negative group were all higher than those in the non-triple-negative group, but differed not significantly.

		Triple-Negative Breast Cancer			Non-Triple-Ne	gative Brea	242	-	
Characteristics		Case	Ν	%	Case	Ν	%	X²	р
		100	24	24	100	13	13		
Ago	<35	12	4	33.3	6	1	16.7	0.554	0.457
Age	≥35	88	20	22.7	94	12	12.8	3.112	0.078
Menopausal	No	46	14	30.4	48	3	6.3	9.274	0.002
status	Yes	54	10	18.5	52	10	19.2	0.009	0.925
Family history	Yes	13	6	46.2	27	5	18.5	3.361	0.067
Family mistory	No	87	18	20.7	73	8	11	2.762	0.097
m ·	<5 cm	47	17	36.2	69	11	15.9	6.247	0.012
Tuniour size	≥ 5 cm	53	7	13.2	31	2	6.5	0.933	0.334

Original Research Article

Lymphatic	Yes	65	22	33.8	48	13	27.1	0.591	0.442
invasion	No	35	2	5.7	52	0		3.041	0.081
Histologic grade	Ш	21	16	76.2	20	12	60	1.240	0.265
	Ш	79	8	10.1	80	1	1.3	5.865	0.015
Clinical stags	$I\!I\!I A T_2 N_2 M_0$	28	11	39.3	22	6	27.3	0.792	0.373
Clinical stage	$\amalg AT_3N_2M_0$	72	13	18.1	78	7	9	2.672	0.102
Table 3. Local Recurrence and Metastasis- based on different Characteristics of Each Groups									

N- Number of patients and %- percentage of patients with local recurrence and metastasis.

During the 5-years period of observation, in 24 patients there occurred recurrence and metastasis in triple-negative group and 13 patients in the non-triple-negative group. In this study, local relapse and metastases occurred earlier in triple-negative patients. In this study those patients with the family history of breast cancer, premenopausal women, larger tumour size (more than 5 centimetres), higher clinical stage, high grade and lymphatic invasion had higher rate of local recurrence and metastasis in triple-negative group that was statistically significant.

DFS Characteristics		Triple-Negative Breast Cancer			Non E	-Triple-Neg Breast Canc	χ ²	р			
		Case	Ν	%	Case	Ν	%				
		100	76	76	100	87	87				
•	<35	12	6	50	6	4	66.7	0.450	0.502		
Age	≥35	88	70	79.5	94	83	88.3	2.599	0.107		
Monongual status	No	46	35	76.1	48	42	87.5	2.065	0.151		
Menopausai status	Yes	54	41	75.9	52	45	86.5	1.949	0.163		
E 11.1.1.	Yes	13	4	30.8	27	23	85.2	11.844	0.001		
Family history	No	87	72	82.8	73	64	87.7	0.751	0.386		
T	<5cm	47	31	66	69	60	87	7.292	0.007		
Tulliour Size	≥5cm	53	45	84.9	31	27	87.1	0.077	0.782		
Lymphatic	Yes	65	46	70.8	48	40	83.3	2.397	0.122		
invasion	No	35	30	85.7	52	47	90.4	0.449	0.503		
	Ш	21	9	42.9	20	14	70	3.064	0.080		
Histologic grade	П	79	67	84.8	80	73	91.3	1.567	0.211		
Clinical stage	$I\!I\!IAT_2N_2M_0$	28	22	78.6	22	19	86.4	0.507	0.477		
Clinical stage	$IIIAT_3N_2M_0$	72	54	75	78	68	87.2	3.658	0.056		
Table 4. Comparis	Table 4. Comparison of Disease-Free Survival between Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Group and Non-Triple-Negative Breast										
	Cancer Group										

N- Number of patients and %- percentage of patients with 5 years Disease-Free Survival.

The 5-years Disease-Free Survival in difference characteristics of each groups were studied. The Disease-Free Survival was lower in triple-negative group than those in non-triple-negative group. During the 5 years' period of observation, patients with the family history of breast cancer and small tumour size (less than 5 centimetres), the 5 years Disease-Free Survival was more in non-triple-negative patients than in triple-negative patients that was statistically significant.

Characteristics		Triple-Negative Breast Cancer			Non-Triple-Negative Breast Cancer			χ^2	р
		Case	Ν	%	Case	Ν	%		
		100	80	80	100	93	93		
٨٥٥	<35	12	6	50	6	5	83.3	1.870	0.171
Age	≥35	88	74	84.1	94	88	93.6	4.217	0.04
Mononqueal status	No	46	37	80.4	48	44	91.7	2.487	0.115
Menopausai status	Yes	54	43	79.6	52	49	94.2	4.927	0.026
Equily history	Yes	13	5	38.5	27	23	85.2	9.122	0.003
Family mstory	No	87	75	86.2	73	70	95.9	4.381	0.036
T	<5cm	47	34	72.3	69	64	92.8	8.886	0.003
Tuillour Size	≥5cm	53	46	86.8	31	29	93.5	0.933	0.334
I umu hati a investion	Yes	65	49	75.4	48	42	87.5	2.585	0.108
Lymphatic mvasion	No	35	31	88.6	52	51	98.1	3.489	0.062
Uistologia que de	Ш	21	12	57.1	20	15	75	1.453	0.228
histologic grade	Π	79	68	86.1	80	78	97.5	6.910	0.009
Clinical stags	$I\!I\!I A T_2 N_2 M_0$	28	23	82.1	22	20	90.9	0.786	0.375
Clinical stage	$I\!I\!I A T_3 N_2 M_0$	72	13	79.2	78	73	93.6	6.740	0.009
Table 5. Comparison of overall Survival between Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Group and Non-Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Group									

N- Number of patients and %- Percentage of patients with 5 years overall survival.

During the 5 years period of observation, overall survival was lower in triple-negative group than those in non-triplenegative group. Patients with older age, post-menopausal women, family history, small tumour size (less than 5 cm), higher histologic grade and stage, the 5 years overall survival, more in non-triple-negative patients than in triple-negative patients that was statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Triple-negative breast cancer was a distinct subgroup of breast cancer with particular clinico-pathologic behaviour. Compared with the non-triple-cancer, triple-negative breast cancer was characterised by larger tumour size, more positive axillary lymph node, higher clinical stage and higher histological grade, higher tendency for metastasis and lower disease-free survival and overall survival rate which were consistent with previous reports.^{16,33-34} These characters played the important roles in judging the prognosis of triplenegative breast cancer patients.

In this study age less than 35 years old patients were more in triple-negative than the non-triple-negative groups, which were consistent with previous reports. Some studies reported that the triple-negative group had the higher rate of the family history of breast cancer than the rate in non-triplenegative group. In this study the rate of the people who had the family history of breast cancer in triple-negative group was statistically significant, higher than that in the nontriple-negative group. The result suggested that triplenegative cancer patients have the familial inheritance tendency.

Dent et al¹⁸ conducted a long-term follow-up of 1608 breast cancer patients and found that the incidence of metastases to visceral organs in the triple-negative patients was much higher than that in the non-triple-negative patients during the first 5 years after diagnosis. Lin et al³⁵ analysed the sites of distant recurrence in 116 metastatic triplenegative patients and reported that the majority of metastases were in lungs and liver. The brain was the third most common site of recurrence. Rakha et al reported that in a study of 1944 breast cancer patients, local relapse and metastases occurred earlier in triple-negative patients. The rate of visceral organs metastases was higher than the rate of bone metastases. According to our study the lungs, liver and brain were the first three most common sites of metastases and the rate of local relapse and the rate of metastases in these sites, more in triple-negative patients than that in the non-triple-negative patients which all differed not significantly.

Bauer et al and other reports³⁶ showed that clinical stage and histological grade are significantly related to the prognosis of triple-negative cancer, the higher pathological stage and grade of the tumour, the lower the disease-free survival and overall survival of the patient. In our study nontriple-negative patients with tumour size less than 5 centimetres without lymphatic invasion and any histological grade were found to have more disease-free survival and patients with small tumour size (less than 5 centimetres) had statistically significant 5 years disease-free survival. Patients with older age, post-menopausal women, family history, small tumour size (less than 5 centimetres), higher histologic grade and stage, the 5 years overall survival more in nontriple-negative patients than in triple-negative patients that was statistically significant.

Triple-negative cancer which are resistant to the existing ER, PR and HER2-targeted therapies, sequential chemotherapies remain. It is the only routine treatment option. The microtubule-targeting agent Eribulin improved survival in a randomised trial including patients with triplenegative cancer who received at least two prior lines of chemotherapy.³⁷⁻³⁸ Addition of platinum agents to anthracycline/ taxane neoadjuvant chemotherapy demonstrated statistically significant higher pathological complete response rates (41% vs. 54%; 37% vs. 53%; 26% vs. 51%).³⁹⁻⁴¹ The adjuvant trial of olaparib (Poly ADP-ribose polymerase inhibitors) should be considered for high-risk germline BRCA-mutant early-stage triple-negative cancer. The diversity and rarity of potentially targetable mutations in triple-negative cancer slow the progress of developing biologically targeted therapies for this disease.⁴² There are many ongoing clinical trials and investigations of the role of immune checkpoint inhibitors, the mTOR inhibitor everolimus and a pan-phosphoinositide 3-kinase PI3K inhibitor, antibody-drug conjugates and other immunetargeted agents in breast cancer.43,44

CONCLUSION

In this study, it was found that reason for the poor prognosis of triple-negative breast cancer patients were special biological characteristics such as younger age, higher rate of breast cancer, family history, bigger tumour size, more advanced clinical stage upon diagnosis, higher rate of lymph node metastasis, higher histological grade, earlier recurrence and metastasis and non-susceptibility to endocrine and targeted therapy. In cases where the cancer is caught in earlier stages and treated effectively, a person has a much higher survival rate than if it is discovered in the later stages, where the cancer has spread or does not respond to treatment. Besides standard surgical and radiation therapy, other treatment modalities to check the mutations at different levels should be developed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG). Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15year survival: an overview of the randomized trials. Lancet 2005;365(9472):1687-717.
- [2] Kamangar F, Dores GM, Anderson WF. Patterns of cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence across five continents: defining priorities to reduce cancer disparities in different geographic regions of the world. J Clin Oncol 2006;24(14):2137-50.
- [3] Konecny G, Pauletti G, Pegram M, et al. Quantitative association between HER-2/neu and steroid hormone receptors in hormone receptor-positive primary breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95(2):142-53.
- [4] Slamon DJ, Godolphin W, Jones LA, et al. Studies of the HER-2/neu proto-oncogene in human breast and ovarian cancer. Science 1989;244(4905):707-12.
- [5] Brenton JD, Carey LA, Ahmed AA, et al. Molecular classification and molecular forecasting of breast cancer: ready for clinical application? J Clin Oncol 2005;23(29):7350-60.

- [6] Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2000;406(6797):747-52.
- [7] Reis-Filho JS, Westbury C, Pierga JY. The impact of expression profiling on prognostic and predictive testing in breast cancer. J Clin Pathol 2006;59(3):225-31.
- [8] Sørlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, et al. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98(19):10869-74.
- [9] Rouzier R, Perou CM, Symmans WF, et al. Breast cancer molecular subtypes respond differently to preoperative chemotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11(16):5678-85.
- [10] Hammond ME, Hayes DF, Dowsett M, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for immunohistochemical testing of estrogen and progesterone receptors in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010;28(16):2784-95.
- [11] Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Coates AS, et al. Strategies for subtypes-dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the St. Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2011. Ann Oncol 2011;22(8):1736-47.
- [12] Dairkee SH, Ljung BM, Smith H, et al. Immunolocalization of a human basal epithelium specific keratin in benign and malignant breast disease. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1987;10(1):11-20.
- [13] Gusterson B. Do "basal-like" breast cancers really exist? Nat Rev Cancer 2009;9(2):128-34.
- [14] Nielsen TO, Hsu FD, Jensen K, et al. Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the basal-like subtype of invasive breast carcinoma. J Clin Cancer Res 2004;10(16):5367-74.
- [15] Banerjee S, Reis-Filho JS, Ashley S, et al. Basal-like breast carcinomas: clinical outcome and response to chemotherapy. J Clin Pathol 2006;59(7):729-35.
- [16] Rakha EA, EI-Sayed ME, Green AR, et al. Prognostic markers in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer 2007;109(1):25-32.
- [17] Carey LA, Perou CM, Livasy CA, et al. Race, breast cancer subtypes and survival in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study. JAMA 2006;295(21):2492-502.
- [18] Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KI, et al. Triple-negative breast cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence. Clin Cancer Res 2007;13(15 Pt 1):4429-34.
- [19] Haffty BG, Yang Q, Reiss M, et al. Locoregional relapse and distant metastasis in conservatively managed triple negative early-stage breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2006;24(36):5652-7.
- [20] Tischkowitz M, Brunet JS, Bégin LR, et al. Use of immunohistochemical markers can refine prognosis in triple negative breast cancer. BMC Cancer 2007;7:134.
- [21] Trivers KF, Lund MJ, Porter PL, et al. The epidemiology of triple-negative breast cancer, including race. Cancer Causes Control 2009;20(7):1071-82.

- [22] Dolle JM, Daling JR, White E, et al. Risk factors for triple-negative breast cancer in women under the age of 45 years. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18(4):1157-66.
- [23] Huo D, Ikpatt F, Khramtsov A, et al. Population differences in breast cancer: survey in indigenous African women reveals over-representation of triplenegative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009;27(27):4515-21.
- [24] Bauer KR, Brown M, Cress RD, et al. Descriptive analysis of estrogen receptor (ER)-negative, progesterone receptor (PR)-negative, and HER2negative invasive breast cancer, the so-called triplenegative phenotype: a population-based study from the California Cancer Registry. Cancer 2007;109(9):1721-8.
- [25] Morris GJ, Naidu S, Topham AK, et al. Differences in breast carcinoma characteristics in newly diagnosed African-American and Caucasian patients: a singleinstitution compilation compared with the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results database. Cancer 2007;110(4):876-84.
- [26] Rakha EA, Reis–Filho JS, Ellis IO. Basal-like breast cancer: a critical review. J Clin Oncol 2008;26(15):2568-81.
- [27] Abd El-Rehim DM, Pinder SE, Paish CE, et al. Expression of luminal and basal cytokeratins in human breast carcinoma. J Pathol 2004;203(2):661-71.
- [28] Fan C, Oh DS, Wessels L, et al. Concordance among gene-expression-based predictors for breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;355(6):560-9.
- [29] Cleator S, Heller W, Coombes RC. Triple-negative breast cancer: therapeutic options. Lancet Oncol 2007;8(3):235-44.
- [30] Carey LA, Dees EC, Sawyer L, et al. The triple negative paradox: primary tumor chemosensitivity of breast cancer subtypes. Clin Cancer Res 2007;13(8):2329-34.
- [31] Fulford LG, Reis-Filho JS, Ryder K, et al. Basal-like grade III invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast: patterns of metastasis and long-term survival. Breast Cancer Res 2007;9(1):R4.
- [32] Calza S, Hall P, Auer G, et al. Intrinsic molecular signature of breast cancer in a population-based cohort of 412 patients. Breast Cancer Res 2006;8(4):R34.
- [33] Yuan ZY, Wang SS, Gao Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and prognosis of triple-negative breast cancer: a report of 305 cases. Ai Zheng: Chin J Cancer 2008;27(6):561-5.
- [34] Yang DH, Liu H, Zhao J. Clinicopathologic features and prognosis of triple negative breast cancer. Chinese Clinical Oncology 2008;35:501-4.
- [35] Lin NU, Claus E, Sohl J, et al. Sites of distant recurrence and clinical outcomes in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer: high incidence of central nervous system metastases. Cancer 2008;113(10):2638-45.
- [36] Zhang L, Hao C, Dong G, et al. Analysis of clinical features and outcome of 356 triple-negative breast cancer patients in China. Breast Care (Basel) 2012;7(1):13-7.

- [37] Skeel RT, Khleif SN. Hand book of cancer chemotherapy. 8th edn. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 2011.
- [38] Grann VR, Troxel AB, Zojwalla NJ, et al. Hormone receptor status and survival in a population-based cohort of patients with breast carcinoma. Cancer 2005;103(11):2241-51.
- [39] Sikov WM, Berry DA, Perou CM, et al. Impact of the addition of carboplatin and/or bevacizumab to neoadjuvant once-per-week paclitaxel followed by dose-dense doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide on pathologic complete response rates in stage II to III triple-negative breast cancer: CALGB 40603 (Alliance). J Clin Oncol 2015;33(1):13-21.
- [40] Rugo HS, Olopade OI, DeMichele A, et al. Adaptive randomization of veliparib-carboplatin treatment in breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2016;375(1):23-34.

- [41] Von Minckwitz G, Schneeweiss A, et al. Neoadjuvant carboplatin in patients with triple-negative and HER2positive early breast cancer (GeparSixto; GBG 66): a randomised phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2014;15(7):747-56.
- [42] Santarpia L, Bottai G, Kelly CM, et al. Deciphering and targeting oncogenic mutations and pathways in breast cancer. Oncologist 2016;21(9):1063-78.
- [43] Miron A, Varadi M, Carrasco D, et al. PIK3CA mutations in in situ and invasive breast carcinomas. Cancer Res 2010;70(14):5674-8.
- [44] Shah SP, Roth A, Goya R, et al. The clonal and mutational evolution spectrum of primary triplenegative breast cancers. Nature 2012;486(7403): 395-9.