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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Hepatitis B virus infection is a major public health problem worldwide. Pregnant women are a vulnerable group with a risk of transmitting the virus to the newborn if the mother is infected resulting in the risk of developing chronic infection and carrier state in the infants in later life. Effective strategies for reducing the incidence of chronic infection include screening of antenatal mothers combined with administration of HBV vaccination and immunoglobulin, immediately after birth to all children born to Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positive mothers. HBsAg is the first serological marker of active HBV infection. Thus, screening of pregnant mothers for HBsAg would help in identifying mothers at risk of transmitting the infection to infants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
In this study of six months duration, 540 pregnant women attending the Antenatal Care (ANC) clinic were included in the study after informed consent. Thorough history was taken regarding their age, area of residence, gravidity, gestational age and history of jaundice. Serum samples collected from the women were tested for the presence of HBsAg using qualitative solid phase, two-site sandwich immunoassay method.

RESULTS
It was observed that the highest number of women attending the ANC clinic was in the age group of 16 - 20 years (58.89%). It was seen that 71.11% of the pregnant mothers were from rural Bengal. 54.4% of the women were primigravidae and the highest number of women (56.67%) visited the clinic during the 1st trimester. Most of the attendees had no previous history of jaundice (78.89%). It was found that 6 of the 540 women (1.11%) were positive for HBsAg, of which 3 were in the age group of 26 - 30 years.

CONCLUSION
Screening of pregnant mothers for HBV infection is an effective strategy to reduce the risk of vertical transmission by giving timely post exposure prophylaxis consisting of vaccine and immunoglobulin to the neonates born to infected mothers. The prevention of transmission in this age group helps in decreasing the overall carrier rate and in preventing another generation from being chronically infected by the virus.
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BACKGROUND
Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a major public health problem worldwide. It is estimated that > 2 billion people have been infected with HBV worldwide, of which about 360 million people remain chronically infected.[1] Recent estimates of the number of individuals chronically infected with HBV have ranged from 240 million[2] to 350 million.[3] HBV infection can lead to a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations ranging from asymptomatic carrier state to acute self-limiting infections or fulminant hepatic failure, chronic hepatitis with progression to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.[4]

The prevalence of HBV infection is highly variable in different parts of the world. The World Health Organisation (WHO) has classified HBV prevalence into high endemicity (>8%), intermediate endemicity (2 - 7%) and low endemicity (<2%). HBV prevalence in India is in the intermediate range. In India about 100000 people die due to HBV infection related illnesses every year.[5]

The Hepatitis B virus may be transmitted parenterally, vertically from infected mother to infant, by sexual contact and by injectable drug use.[6] Pregnant women are a vulnerable group and there is a risk of transmitting infection to the newborn if the mother is infected. Infection can be transmitted from mother to infant transplacentally during pregnancy, perinatally during delivery or postnatally through breast milk.[7,8] 10 - 20% of HBsAg positive mothers can transmit the virus to the neonates, in the absence of immunoprophylaxis.[9] Foetal and neonatal hepatitis acquired from mother during pregnancy can lead to impaired cognitive and physical development in children in later life.[10] Earlier the exposure, greater is the risk of progression to chronic infection. Upto 90% of babies born to carrier
mothers become carriers and are at a very high risk of developing chronic liver diseases at a younger age and they are the most important reservoirs of infection in the community.\(^{[12,13]}\) Thus, prevention of transmission of infection in this group would be helpful in decreasing the overall carrier rate. Effective strategies for reducing the incidence of chronic infection include screening of antenatal mothers combined with post exposure prophylaxis consisting of HBV vaccination immediately after birth in all children born to HBsAg positive mothers, ideally along with immunoglobulin prophylaxis.\(^{[14]}\)

Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) also known as Australia Antigen is the first serological marker of active HBV infection.\(^{[15]}\) It is also a major serological marker of Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection. Thus, screening of pregnant mothers for HBsAg in serum would help to identify mothers at risk of transmitting the infection to infant and thus provide an opportunity to prevent the infection in the newborn by effective prophylaxis and therefore prevent the baby from being chronically infected. Also screening of pregnant mothers may indirectly help the healthcare providers as they can use universal precautions when handling such patients.

We hereby undertake a study to determine the seroprevalence of HBsAg in pregnant mothers attending the ANC clinic of our hospital.

**Aims and Objectives**

The study was undertaken to determine the prevalence of HBsAg positivity among the pregnant mothers attending the ANC clinic and its future implications.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology at Murshidabad Medical College and Hospital, a tertiary care teaching hospital in West Bengal for a period of six months from August 2016 to January 2017.

Pregnant mothers attending the ANC clinic for routine antenatal check-up within the age of 16 to 35 years, who agreed to participate were included in the study. Those mothers who gave a history of immunisation with Hepatitis B virus vaccine were excluded from the study to avoid bias. Also, mothers with any complications were excluded from the study. A total of 540 pregnant mothers were included in the study. The sample size was chosen according to our convenience keeping in mind the feasibility in our set-up regarding counselling, history taking and serum sample collection. After proper counselling and taking informed consent, a detailed history was taken from them regarding their age, area of residence, gravidity, gestational age and any history of jaundice. Serum samples collected from the women were then tested for the presence of HBsAg using qualitative solid phase, two-site sandwich immunoassay method (ASPEN HBsAg Rapid Test Cassette) following the instructions provided by the manufacturer. All samples giving positive results were retested twice before marking them as positive. Doubtful and indeterminate results were excluded from the analysis. All mothers who tested negative for HBsAg were advised hepatitis B vaccine after delivery. All newborns of mothers who tested positive for HBsAg were given both active and passive immunisation within 12 hours of delivery.

**RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants Positive for HBsAg</th>
<th>Seroprevalence of HBsAg (Positive/n x 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 - 20</td>
<td>318 (58.89%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 25</td>
<td>186 (34.44%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 30</td>
<td>24 (4.44%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 - 35</td>
<td>12 (2.22%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1. Results of HBsAg testing among ANC Attendants (n=540)*

It was observed that the highest number of pregnant women attending the ANC clinic was in the age group of 16-20 yrs. (58.89%) and the lowest number was in the age group of 31 - 35 years (2.22%). Highest prevalence was found in the age group of 26 - 30 years.

**Figure 1. Diagram showing Results of HBsAg testing among ANC Attendants**

**Figure 2. Bar Diagram showing Age Wise distribution of the ANC attendees included in the Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>No. of Cases Positive for HBsAg (Australia Antigen)</th>
<th>Seroprevalence of HBsAg (Positive/n x 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 - 20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 - 35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2. Age Wise distribution of the ANC attendants along with Seroprevalence of HBsAg*
### Table 3. Area Wise distribution of the ANC attendees along with seroprevalence of HBsAg among them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Residence</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants Positive for HBsAg</th>
<th>Sero-prevalence of HBsAg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>384 (71.11%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>156 (28.89%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was seen that 71.11% of the pregnant mothers were from rural Bengal. Seroprevalence was higher in the Urban Residents though the number was Less (2), as the percentage of the Urban Residents were also Less (28.89%).

### Table 4. Gravidity of the ANC attendants along with seroprevalence of HBsAg among them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gravidity</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants Positive for HBsAg</th>
<th>Sero-prevalence of HBsAg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primigravida</td>
<td>294 (54.44%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multigravida</td>
<td>246 (45.56%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Higher seroprevalence was seen in the Multigravidae (1.63%).

### Table 5. Gestational Age of the Pregnant Mothers at the time of attending the ANC clinic along with seroprevalence of HBsAg among them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants</th>
<th>No. of the ANC Attendants Positive for HBsAg</th>
<th>Sero-prevalence of HBsAg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Trimester</td>
<td>306 (56.67%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.65 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Trimester</td>
<td>72 (13.33%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Trimester</td>
<td>162 (30%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was observed that the highest prevalence was in the age group of 26 - 30 years (50%) and the prevalence was equal in all other age groups.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, the seroprevalence rate of HBsAg in pregnant women attending ANC clinic was 1.11%. This correlates with the seroprevalence rate of 1.11% reported by Sibia P et al from a study in North India, 1.1% by Pande et al, and 1.01% by Sathiayakala R et al from a study in South India. It is also comparable to the prevalence rate in other Indian studies like 0.9% reported by Dwivedi M et al and 0.82% by Chatterjee S et al. In a review article, Lodha R et al concluded that the prevalence rate in India was 1 - 2%. However, wide variations in the prevalence rate have been seen in different studies from different regions. Prakash C et al reported a high prevalence rate of 9.5% in a study in North India. A prevalence rate of HBV among ANC attendants was reported by Garg R and 3.9% by Sinha A et al. International studies also show wide variations. A seroprevalence of 3.9% was reported by Rashid S et al in Tanzania, 13.8% by Getahun A et al from Honiara Solomon Island and 5.44% by Choisy M et al from Vientiane, Laos. These differences in the prevalence rates may be due to geographic variation, sensitivity of the detection methods and environmental factors.

In our study 71.11% of women were from rural areas, whereas 28.89% were from urban residency. This is comparable to the study by Sibia P et al where 75.61% subjects were from rural areas and 24.39% were from urban areas. In our study 54.44% subjects were primigravidae, whereas in the study by Rashid S et al only 31% were primigravidae. The most common age group of HBsAg positivity (50%) in our study was 26 - 30 years. This correlates with the studies of Sibia P et al and Sathiayakala R et al (46.1%). The mean age of HBsAg positivity in pregnant mothers reported by Fomulu et al was 26.9 years’ age group and by Vazquez-Martinez et al was 26 years and by Rashid S et al was 28.5 years. A higher prevalence rate in women aged twenty years and less than in older women was reported by Bayo P et al and by Eke AC et al in a Nigerian study.

The US Preventive Services Task Force found convincing evidence that screening of Antenatal mothers for HBV infection substantially reduces perinatal transmission of HBV and the subsequent development of chronic infection. Infants born to HBsAg seropositive mothers should receive 0.5 mL Hepatitis B immunoglobulin and Hepatitis B vaccine within 12 hours of birth to prevent subsequent development of infection and a chronic carrier state.

CONCLUSION

Screening of pregnant mothers for HBV infection is an effective strategy to reduce the risk of vertical transmission by giving timely post exposure prophylaxis consisting of vaccine and immunoglobulin as recommended to the neonates born to infected mothers. The prevention of transmission in this age group helps in decreasing the overall carrier rate and in preventing another generation from being chronically infected by the virus. There are some limitations in our study. We have screened subjects only for HBsAg as a marker of HBV infection. If other markers of viral replication such as HBeAg and HBV DNA could be tested, then the study would have been more fruitful as presence of HBeAg in HBsAg positive pregnant women increases the risk of perinatal transmission. But we could not do it owing to financial constraints. Also, we used a rapid test for the detection of HBsAg, which generally has a low sensitivity that makes it unsuitable for HBsAg detection at low concentrations. ELISA test has a higher sensitivity of detection. However, in our rural set-up, ELISA for detection of HBsAg is still not available.
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