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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Sciatic nerve block is a useful technique for unilateral lower limb surgeries. Many studies with different approaches for sciatic nerve block have been conducted to demonstrate the efficacy of sciatic nerve block with different local anaesthetic drugs over the past decades. Ropivacaine, a long-acting amide local anaesthetic is found to be a safe and effective agent of choice for sciatic nerve block. Hence, this observational study was undertaken to evaluate the clinical efficacy of sciatic nerve block for intraoperative anaesthesia and post-operative analgesia in patients undergoing below-knee orthopaedic surgeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
After institutional ethical committee clearance and informed consent, 30 patients of either sex, between 18-60 years, ASA PS I and II, posted for elective lower limb surgeries were enrolled in the study. Using peripheral nerve stimulator, sciatic nerve block was given with 20 mL of 0.75% ropivacaine by classic approach of Labat. Onset of sensory and motor block, duration of analgesia, duration of motor block, quality of block, patient and surgeon satisfaction, adverse events and haemodynamic parameters were studied.

RESULTS
Onset of sensory and motor block were 21.74 minutes and 28.88 minutes respectively. Mean duration of analgesia was 786.46 minutes. 96.66% surgeons rated the block as excellent and 93.33% patients had satisfactory block. There were no adverse events or haemodynamic instability.

CONCLUSION
We concluded that sciatic nerve block is an effective and safe method of anaesthesia for below-knee orthopaedic surgeries.
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BACKGROUND
Regional anaesthesia in the form of central neuraxial block has taken over as the principle technique for most of the lower limb surgeries. However, over recent years, peripheral nerve blockade is increasingly becoming popular because of the additional advantages like: reduced incidence of adverse haemodynamic effects (hypotension, bradycardia), no post-dural puncture headache, prolonged post-operative analgesia, less incidence of post-operative nausea and vomiting, reduced length of hospital stay. Sciatic nerve block is a useful technique for unilateral lower limb surgeries, particularly in patients considered unsuitable for central neuraxial block. Sciatic nerve block when combined with femoral nerve block provides effective anaesthesia of lower limb. It may also be used more readily in the presence of minor degree of coagulopathy or after head injury where central neuraxial

block is relatively contraindicated. Many studies with different approaches for sciatic nerve block have been conducted to demonstrate the efficacy of sciatic nerve block with different local anaesthetic drugs over the past decades.

Objectives
To study the clinical efficacy of sciatic nerve block for intraoperative anaesthesia and post-operative analgesia in patients undergoing below-knee orthopaedic surgeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
After institutional ethical committee clearance and written informed consent, 30 patients aged 18 to 60 years of either sex, ASA Physical Status I and II, posted for elective below-knee orthopaedic surgeries were enrolled in the study. Patients with known hypersensitivity or contraindications to the study drugs, infection at the site of block, patients with severe renal, hepatic, respiratory or cardiac diseases, patients with severe coagulopathy, morbidly obese patients, pregnant patients, patients with neurological, psychiatric or neurovascular disorders, patient with alcohol/drug abuse and patients who refused the technique were excluded from the study. Parameters studied included onset of sensory blockade, onset of motor blockade, duration of analgesia, duration of motor
blockade, quality of blockade, patient satisfaction, surgeon satisfaction, haemodynamic parameters and adverse effects.

A detailed pre-anaesthetic evaluation of each case was done previous day before surgery. A thorough systemic examination was carried out to detect the presence of any systemic disorder. Routine and special investigations were carried out accordingly. Local examination of block site was done to exclude any sign of sepsis, previous injury or previous deformity. Patients were kept nil orally for 6 hours prior to surgery and Tab. Alprazolam 0.5 mg and Tab. Ranitidine 150 mg were given on the night prior to surgery. The patients were reassured, the procedure of block was explained and a written informed consent was obtained. On arrival of the patient in the operation theatre, Star plus of invasive blood pressure, ic
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resulting in a standard thigh tourniquet, femoral nerve block was performed. After complete sensory and motor onset in the sciatic nerve distribution, patients were positioned supine. Under aseptic precautions, in supine position, femoral artery was palpated and the insulated needle was attached to peripheral nerve stimulator with the initial intensity of current set at 1 mA. The needle was inserted 2 cm lateral to artery and 2 cm below the inguinal ligament. Needle placement was considered optimal when maximal quadriceps contraction or patellar snap was observed at a current of <0.5 mA. 15 mL of 2% lidocaine with 1:200,000 adrenaline was injected after negative aspiration for blood. After complete sensory onset, a standard thigh tourniquet was applied in all patients.

Throughout the surgery, haemodynamic parameters were recorded every 10 minutes. Patients were monitored for signs of local anaesthetic toxicity. Any hypersensitivity to drugs and other adverse reactions were noted.

In the post-operative period, resolution of motor blockade and duration of analgesia were recorded.

Patient satisfaction with the anaesthetic technique was recorded by asking the patient to assess the block as: very good, good, medium or poor. In the post-operative period, the pain was assessed by visual analogue score and at a score of 5, patients were given analgesic like Inj. Diclofenac 75 mg and the study concluded at this point.

Surgeon Satisfactionwas assessed by Three-Point Score

• 1= Completely satisfied. I want the same anaesthesia for future operations.
• 2= Partially satisfied. This anaesthesia may be adequate, but needs improvement.
• 3= Not satisfied. I want a different anaesthesia technique for future procedures.
• Quality of block was assessed using two point scale as follows:
• 0=Satisfactory (if block alone allowed surgery).
• 1=Unsatisfactory (need of analgesic supplementation or general anaesthesia to complete surgery).
• For statistical purpose, patients with unsatisfactory block were excluded from the study.

RESULTS

Mean age of the study population was 39.08 years. Mean weight was 58.9 kg. Out of 30 patients, 17 patients were male and 13 patients were females. 26 patients belonged to ASA physical status (PS) I and rest of them belonged to ASA PS II. Mean duration of surgery was 43.8 minutes.
The mean time for complete sensory onset was 21.74 minutes and the mean time for onset of complete motor block was 28.88 minutes. Mean duration of analgesia at which rescue analgesic was given was 786.46 minutes with a standard deviation of 259.65 minutes. Mean duration of motor block was 734.07 minutes. 28 patients out of 30 patients (93.33%) had a satisfactory blockade. Two patients with unsatisfactory block were excluded from the study (6.66%).

17 (60.71%) patients graded the block as very good, 5 (17.85%) graded the experience as good, 6 (21.4%) as medium and none of the patients graded as poor. 96.4% of surgeons rated the block as excellent.

All the patients remained haemodynamically stable throughout the procedure. There were no adverse events. Results were analysed using SPSS software.

### Table 1. Demographic Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters Observed</th>
<th>Mean Time in Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean time for complete sensory onset</td>
<td>21.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time for onset of complete motor block</td>
<td>28.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of analgesia</td>
<td>786.46 (with a standard deviation of 259.65 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of motor block</td>
<td>734.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Block Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfactory Block</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory Block</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28 (93.33%)</td>
<td>2 (6.66%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Quality of Block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>17 (60.71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>5 (17.86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>6 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Patient Satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Number of Surgeons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completely satisfied</td>
<td>27 (96.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially satisfied</td>
<td>1 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION

Lower limb nerve blocks are less popular than upper limb blocks due to wide spread acceptance, safety and reliability of central neuraxial block. However, interest in lower limb blocks has been increasing in view of prolonged pain relief and shorter hospital stay which are the two important goals of modern anaesthetic practice.

Other Advantages of Lower Limb Nerve Blocks Include:

1. Greater haemodynamic stability due to lack of sympathectomy, particularly suitable for low cardiac output states.
2. Unlike subarachnoid block, these techniques do not interfere with respiratory function, coughing or expectoration and allow dyspnœcic patient to sit upright in post-operative period with reduced risk of hypotension or syncope.
3. It can be applied in head injury and trauma patients, thus allowing monitoring of conscious level during surgery.
4. These blocks may be a safer alternative to central neuraxial block in the presence of minor degree of coagulopathy.

Sciatic nerve block is a useful technique of choice for below-knee orthopaedic surgeries and not many studies exist in evaluating its application for orthopaedic surgeries. Hence, we conducted an observational study to evaluate clinical efficacy of sciatic nerve block for intraoperative anaesthesia and post-operative analgesia in patients undergoing elective below-knee orthopaedic surgeries. Conolly et al concluded that 20 mL of either 0.5% bupivacaine or 0.75% ropivacaine produces effective anaesthesia and analgesia for foot surgeries and sciatic nerve block can be used as primary technique of choice in foot and ankle surgeries. Sinari D et al compared 0.5% bupivacaine and 0.75% ropivacaine for sciatic nerve block and reported less than 30 minutes for onset of surgical anaesthesia and duration of analgesia of 13 hours for bupivacaine and 16 hours for ropivacaine. Patient satisfaction was high among both the groups. Studies by Imbelloni et al concluded that combined femoro-scatic block can be as effective as unilateral spinal and a safe alternative to spinal anaesthesia. Suzan Adali et al concluded that the technique was highly effective and comfortable for patients, as it required only one puncture and provided good postoperative analgesia with no major side effects.

Subhadra Arun Sinha et al concluded that single shot sciatic nerve block provided effective pain relief to majority of the patients up to 18 hours in below-knee orthopaedic surgery and also decreased analgesic drugs requirement postoperatively. SK Choudhary compared equal doses of clonidine and Dexmedetomidine with Levobupivacaine to study post-operative analgesia in femoroscopic nerve block in patients undergoing orthopaedic below-knee surgeries under subarachnoid block. They reported duration of analgesia up to 10 hours.

The present study reported mean onset time for both sensory and motor block of less than 30 minutes and duration of analgesia up to 13 hours. We also observed a high satisfaction rate of above 90% among patients and surgeons. There were no adverse events or haemodynamic instability.
CONCLUSION
With this study we conclude that the sciatic nerve block is an effective and safe method of anaesthesia for below-knee orthopaedic surgeries. It provides excellent intraoperative anaesthesia, haemodynamic stability and extended postoperative analgesia.
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