PREDICTION OF OUTCOME OF PATIENTS WITH PERFORATION PERITONITIS ON THE BASIS OF APACHE-II SCORING SYSTEM

Dr. S. K. Katiyar, Dr. S. K. Gahlot.

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur.
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Major S.D. Singh Memorial Medical College & Hospital, Farrukhabad.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dr. S. K. Katiyar M.S., FIAGES,

675, Singhpur Kanpur-208017,

E-mail: <u>Drskkatiyar59@rediffmail.com</u>,

Ph: 09415044878

ABSTRACT: Peritonitis is the inflammation of the peritoneum and is most commonly due to localized or generalized infection. It is most common surgical emergency in India. Most common causes in India are perforated gastric /duodenal ulcer. Any type of peritonitis can be very serious and life threatening if not evaluated and treated properly. Prognosis in peritonitis is decisively influenced by the health status of the patients at beginning of treatment. Accurate prediction of the outcome of the disease can initially be made on the basis of the prognostic scoring systems, currently several scoring systems are employed.

The APACHE prognostic scoring system for measuring severity of illness in critically ill patients was developed in 1981 by William A Knaus¹. APACHE - II introduced in 1985 was a simplified modification of original APACHE. APACHE - II was further refined to APACHE - III in 1991. It is important for surgeons to develop at least a rudimentary knowledge of scoring system for perforation peritonitis, as it will play an increasing role to explain the prognosis of the disease. Aims & objectives of study are to predict the outcome of the patients with perforation peritonitis on the basis of APACHE - III scoring system. This study was conducted on 72 patients, admitted to emergency ward of Rama Medical college hospital & Research centre, Mandhana Kanpur, who were diagnosed as cases of perforation peritonitis from January 2009 – January 2011. All the patients were evaluated according to APACHE - III scoring system within 24 hours of admission. All the patients admitted in emergency ward initially examined clinically then required investigations were done. Total APACHE III score range for (0-299). Patients with lower scores have more favourable prognosis than patients with higher scores. Thus it was concluded from this study that patients with low scores have favourable outcome as compared to patients with high scores.

KEYWORDS: Peritonitis, APACHE, Prognosis, Outcome.

INTRODUCTION: Peritonitis is the inflammation of the peritoneum and is most commonly due to localized or generalized infection. Currently Peritonitis is organized into three divisions based upon the source and nature of microbial contamination.

1. Primary peritonitis is diffuse bacterial infection without loss of integrity of GI tract, and is most commonly caused by Streptococcus pneumonia.

- 2. Secondary peritonitis occurs due to acute peritoneal inflammation resulting from GI tract perforation, infected pancreatic necrosis, perforations of other infected viscera e.g. hepatic abscess or pyometra and penetrating abdominal injuries.
- 3. Tertiary peritonitis develops following treatment failure of secondary peritonitis.

Peritonitis is most common surgical emergency in India. Most common causes in India are perforated gastric /duodenal ulcer followed by appendicitis, GIT perforation due to blunt trauma, typhoid fever and tuberculosis.

Signs and symptoms of peritonitis are-

- 1. Severe pain in abdomen and worsened by movement
- 2. Abdominal distention
- 3. Board like rigidity
- 4. Fever and chills
- 5. Nausea and vomiting
- 6. Inability to pass flatus & faeces
- 7. Low BP
- 8. Limited urine output

Any type of peritonitis can be very serious and life threatening if not evaluated and treated properly.

Prognosis in peritonitis is decisively influenced by the health status of the patients at beginning of treatment accurate prediction of the outcome of the disease can initially be made on the basis of the prognostic scoring systems, currently several scoring systems are employed.

The APACHE prognostic scoring system for measuring severity of illness in critically ill patients was developed in 1981 by William A Knaus¹, Statistical detail on the predictive power of APACHE was published in 1983, which showed that by using estimated equation to forecast death rates for independent data, APACHE allowed accurate estimates of death rates for groups of patients.

 $\mbox{\sc APACHE}$ - II introduced in 1985 was a simplified modification of original APACHE. The APACHE - II scores consisted of three parts –

- 1. 12 acute physiological variables (0-60) points
- 2. Age (0-6) points
- 3. Chronic health status (0-5) points

The probability of death can be calculated from the individual APACHE - II total scores (0-71) points. APACHE - II scores has received far more attention in the literature than any other prognostic model. APACHE - II was further refined to APACHE - III in 1991, Five new variables –

- 1. Blood urea nitrogen
- 2. Urine output
- 3. Serum bilirubin.
- 4. Serum albumin
- 5. Glucose

It is important for surgeons to develop at least a rudimentary knowledge of scoring system for perforation peritonitis, as it will play an increasing role to explain the prognosis of the disease.

Aims & objectives of study are to predict the outcome of the patients with perforation peritonitis on the basis of APACHE - III scoring system.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: This study was conducted on 72 patients, admitted to emergency ward of Rama Medical college hospital & Research centre, Mandhana Kanpur, who were diagnosed as cases of perforation peritonitis from January 2009 – January 2011. All the patients were evaluated according to APACHE - III scoring system within 24 hours of admission.

All the patients admitted in emergency ward initially examined clinically then required investigations were done.

- Plain x-ray abdomen
 - 1. Erect view
 - 2. Supine view
- USG whole abdomen
- Aspiration of peritoneal fluid

The following acute physiological parameters of APACHE III scoring system were assessed and recorded at the time of admission-

- 1. Pulse rate
- 2. Respiratory rate
- 3. Mean arterial pressure (mm of Hg)
- 4. Temperature (°C)
- 5. Urine out put (24 hr).
- 6. Hematocrit (%)
- 7. White blood cell count
- 8. Serum sodium (mmol./L)
- 9. Serum creatinine (mg/dl)
- 10. Serum albumin (g/dl)
- 11. Serum bilirubin (mg/dl)
- 12. Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl)
- 13. Blood sugar (mg/dl)
- 14. Arterial pH
- 15. Oxygenation (PaO_2 in mm of Hg with $FiO_2 < 0.05$)
- 16. Glasgow coma score

These values were scored in accordance to the APACHE III chart scoring for abnormally high or low range. Zero score represents a normal value. These parameters represent Acute physiology Score.

(A) Acute Physiology score (0-252)

1.	Pulse rate	0 - 17
2.	Mean B.P.	0 – 23
3.	Temperature	0 - 20
4.	Respiratory rate	0 - 18
5.	PaO ₂ /AaDO ₂	0 - 15 / 0 - 14
6.	Hematocrit	0 - 3
7.	WBC	0 - 19
8.	Creatinine	0 - 10
9.	Urine output	0 - 15
10.	BUN	0 - 12
11.	Sodium	0 - 4

12. Albumin	0 - 11
13. Bilirubin	0 - 16
14. Glucose	0 - 9
15. pH	0 - 12
16. GCS	0 - 48
 	243

(B) Age points (0-24)

1	< 44	0
1	45 – 59	5
1	60 - 64	11
1	65 – 69	13
1	70 - 74	16
1	75 – 84	17
1	> 85	24

(C) Chronic health points (0-23)

1.	None	0
2.	Cirrhosis	4
3.	Immunosupression	10
4.	Leukemia/multiple myeloma	10
5.	Metastatic cancer	11
6.	Lymphoma	13
7.	Hepatic failure	16
8.	AIDS	23
A CI	IE III Caarra (A) . (D) . (C)	

Total APACHE III score range for (0-299)

RESULTS:

TABLE -I

CAUSES OF PERFORATION PERITONITIS (n = 72)

SI. No.	Cause	Patients	:	Male		Female	
1.	Gastric / Duodenal	40	55%	35	87.5%	5	12.5%
2.	Small bowel Perforation	15	20.8%	9	60%	6	40%
3.	Colon perforation	2	2.7%	1	50%	1	50%
4.	Appendicular Perforation	3	4.1%	2	66%	1	33%
5.	Gall bladder Perforation	2	2.7%	0	0%	2	100%
6.	Blunt abdominal Trauma	8	11%	6	75%	2	2.5%
7.	Stab injury Abdomen	1	1.3%	1	100%	0	0%

8.	Firearm injury	1	1.3%	1	100%	0	0%
	Abdomen						

TABLE – II
DISTRIBUTION OF PATIENTS WITH POST OPERATIVE COMPLICATION ACCORDING TO APACHE - III SCORE

SI. No.	APACHE III	No.of	Wound	Septicemia	Burst	Fecal
	Score	Cases	Infection		Abdomen	fistula
	0 - 30	35	9	1	3	0
1.						
	31 - 60	25	6	2	5	2
2.						
	> 60	12	3	5	3	0
3.						

TABLE – III
DISTRIBUTION OF PATIENTS WITH OUTCOME ACCORDING TO APACHE – III SCORE

SI.	APACHE	No. of	Survived	Expired	LAMA	Observed
No.	III score	patients				Mortality
1.	0 - 30	35	33	1	1	2.8%
2.	31 - 60	25	22	2	1	8%
3.	>60	12	7	5	0	41.6%

TABLE - IV
COMPARISON OF OBSERVED AND PREDICTED MORTALITY

SI.	APACHE	No. of patients	Expired	Mortality	
No.	III score				
				Observed	predicted
1.	0 - 30	35	1	2.8%	7.5%
2.	31 - 60	25	2	8%	25.2%
3.	> 60	12	5	41.6%	42.6%

DISCUSSION: Perforation peritonitis is a frequently encountered surgical emergency in tropical countries like India. In majority of cases presentation to hospital is late with well established generalized peritonitis with purulent / faecal contamination and varying degree of septicemia.

Clinical presentation of patients varied according to site of perforation. Abdominal distension was found in 84% along with vomiting in 50% and constipation in 60% cases. 15% patients were in shock at the time of admission. Only 64% patients had evidence of pneumoperitoneum on chest X- ray done in erect posture.

The most elaborate study on APACHE III prognostic system and the risk prediction has been conducted by knaus et al 2 , where they prospectively collected data on 17,440 medical / surgical ICU patients and found that a 5 points increase in APACHE III score (range 0 – 299) is independently associated with a statistically significant increase in the relative risk of hospital death. We categorised patients into 3 groups according to APACHE – III score of 30 points each and found an increase in the mortality risk as the scores increased from < 30 to > 60. Perforations of proximal gastrointestinal tract are more common than of distal gastrointestinal tract as has been noted in earlier studies from India 70 , which is in sharp contrast to studies form developed countries like United States 71 and Japan 72

Rajender Singh Jhobta et al³ in their study concluded that most common cause of perforation was perforated dupdenal ulcer (57%) followed by appendicitis (11%) and blunt trauma (9.1%). These findings are similar to present study in which most common cause of perforation was gastric / duodenal ulcer (55%), blunt trauma (8%) and appendicitis (3%). A study done by Mathkere LR et al⁴ also showed perforation of peptic ulcer was most common cause of peritonitis (64%). Not only the site but the etiological factors also show a wide geographical variation. Khanna et al⁵ from Varanasi studied 204 consecutive patients of gastrointestinal perforation and found that over half (100 cases) were due to typhoid. Duodenal ulcer (58), appendicitis (9), amoebiasis (8) and tuberculosis (4). These figures show the importance of infection and infestation in third word. At the other end of spectrum, Noon et al⁶ from Texas studied 430 patients of gastrointestinal perforations and found 2010 cases to be due to penetrating trauma. This shows the importance of trauma in developed countries.

The mean length of hospital stay was 13 days. For survivors mean length of stay was 17.8 days as comparable to 18 days in the study by Bohnen et al7. The study by Adesunkanmi et al⁸ showed an incidence of postoperative complications of 42.4% similar to present study with an incidence of 54% patients having higher APACHE - III score had higher incidence of postoperative complications. In this series, the major cause of postoperative morbidity were wound infection (25%), wound dehiscence (15.2%), septicemia (11%) and faceal fistula (2.7%) as compared to series by Rajender Singh Jhobta et al⁹ in their study wound infection rate was (25%), wound dehiscence (9%) and septicemia (18%). Markgrof R et al51 done a showed that hospital morality rate was higher than predicted APACHE III for score > 60 is 41.6% as compared to predicted mortality rate of 42.5%.

CONCLUSION: All the patients were evaluated according to APACHE –III scoring system within 24 hours of admission. Age is a significant factor contributing to survival. Majority of survivors belong to age group 20- 60 yrs. In this series, male patients were 55 (76%) and female patients were 17 (23%). Mortality was higher in females (23.5%) as compared to male (7.2%). Most common cause of perforation peritonitis was gastric / duodenal perforations (55%) followed by small bowel perforations (20%), blunt abdominal trauma (11%), appendicular perforation (4%), colon perforation (2.7%), gall bladder perforation (2.7%), stab injury abdomen (1.3%) and firearm injury abdomen (1.3%). Most of the patients (72%) were managed with primary repair of perforations. Mean duration of hospital stay is 13 days. Major causes of postoperative complications were wound infection (25%), wound dehiscence (15%), septicemia (8%) and

faecal fistula (2.7%). Patients with lower scores have more favourable prognosis than patients with higher score. Observed mortality rate was 41.6% in the group with APACHE –III score of >60, which was comparable to predicted mortality of 42.6%.

Thus it was concluded from this study that patients with low scores have favourable outcome as compared to patients with high scores. And APACHE III score, as measured before the treatment of perforation peritonitis, correlates significantly with the outcome of disease with respect to both morbidity and mortality.

REFERENCES:

- I. W.A. Knaus. APACHEs The development of a quality assurance system based on prognosis: Milestones and personal reflections. Arch Surg 137 (2002), pp. 37 41.
- II. Kanus W.A., Wagner D.P: Individual patients decision. Chaper 6, Crit Care Med. 17: S204 209.
- III. Rajender Singh Jhobta, Ashok Kumar Attri, Robin Kaushik, Rajeev Sharma and Anupam Jhobta: Spectrum of perforation peritonitis in India review of 504 consecutive cases. World Journal of Emergency Surgery 2006, 1:26.
- IV. Mathikere Lingaish Ramachandra, Beellary Jagadesh, Sathees BC Chandra: Clinical study and management of secondary peritonitis due to perforated hollow viscous. Arc Med Sci. 2007; 3, 1: 61-68.
- V. Khanna AK, Mishra MK: Typhoid perforation of the gut. Postgraduate Medical Journal 1984, 60: 523.
- VI. Noon GP, Beall AC, Jorden GL: clinical eveluation of peritoneal irrigation with antibiotic solution. Surgery 1967, 67:73.
- VII. Bohnen JM, Mustard RA, Oxhalm SE, Schouten BD: APACHE II score and abdominal sepsis. Arch Surg. 1988; 123 : 225 229.
- VIII. Adesunkanmi ARK, Badmus TA, Fadiora FO, Agbakwuru EK: Generalised peritonitis secondary to typhoid ileal perforation: Assessment of senerity using modified APACHE II score. Indian J Surg 2005; 67: 29 33.
 - IX. MR Capoor, D Nair, MS Chintamani, J Khanna, P Aggarwal, D Bhatnagar: Role of enteric fever in Ileal perforations: An overstated problem in tropics? Indian J Med Microbiol 2008; 26:54-57.
 - X. A. Horiuchi, y. Watanable, T. Doi, K. Sato, S. Yukumi, M. Yashida, Y. Yamamoto, H. Sugishita, K. Kawachi: Evaluation of prognostic factors and scoring in colonic perforation. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13(23): 3228 3231.
 - XI. A.Y. Ukewenya, Ilyasu Muhammad and P.T. Nmadu: Assessing severity of intraabdominal infections; the value of APACHE II scoring system. Nigerian Journal of Surgical Research, Vol 8, No. 1-2, 2006, pp. 24-29.