

PROFILE OF OCULAR TRAUMA IN TERTIARY CARE CENTRE

R. N. Kushwaha¹, Rashmi Rastogi², R. C. Gupta², Priyanka Gupta³, Sushil Kumar⁴.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

R. N. Kushwaha, Rashmi Rastogi², R. C. Gupta, Priyanka Gupta, Sushil Kumar. "Profile of ocular trauma in tertiary care centre". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2013; Vol2, Issue 27, July 8; Page: 4903-4914.

ABSTRACT: AIM: To study the epidemiology and clinical profile of ocular trauma in a tertiary care centre. **MATERIAL AND METHOD:** Prospective analysis of all ocular trauma injuries presenting to the Out Patient Department of Ophthalmology and emergency and trauma centre from 1st August 2012 to 31st October 2012. All patients with ocular trauma injuries were assessed by an ophthalmologist. Data on demographic profile, the type and source of injury of the patients, and clinical presentation and visual profile were documented using a uniform and validated datasheet.

RESULTS: Out of total 141 cases 84.4% were male and 15.6% were females. The average age was 29.8 years. Pediatric ocular trauma constituted 18.4% of total cases which mostly occurred at home. The most common mode of ocular injury among adults was Road Traffic Accident (RTA). Among 76 cases of RTA, none of them were using helmets and 29% were under influence of alcohol. 8.5% cases were involved in medico-legal proceedings. 58.9% cases arrived to our centre between 4-24 hour and 28% presented after 24 hours. Only 13.5% ocular injuries had come to seek treatment within 4 hours of trauma. Closed globe injuries constituted about 87% of total cases of which most cases presented with laceration around globe followed by ecchymosis. Majority of the cases comprised of monocular trauma (89%) although subjective visual deficit was reported in only 15% cases.

CONCLUSION: A preventive and educational strategy among the population with special focus on traffic rules is necessary to reduce ocular trauma occurrence.

KEY WORDS: ocular trauma, tertiary health centre

INTRODUCTION: Ocular trauma is an important, preventable public health problem worldwide. It is an important cause of ocular morbidity. As many as half a million people in the world are blind as a result of ocular injuries. ⁽¹⁾

Ocular trauma may range from minute corneal abrasions or subconjunctival hemorrhage to a badly lacerated globe. It is known to be the prominent cause of monocular blindness. Reports suggest that up to 60.5% of cases of ocular injury lead to significant visual loss with higher rates among men under age of 30 years. ⁽²⁾ Studies indicate that one out of every 5 adults have a history of ocular trauma. ⁽³⁾ In pediatric group, these rates are 12% to 38%, making ocular trauma the most avoidable cause of childhood blindness. ⁽⁴⁻⁸⁾ Penetrating ocular trauma is a well-known cause of visual impairment in young adults and children leading to blindness. ⁽⁹⁾

Cost burden due to ocular trauma is also an important issue. Severe ocular trauma may require expensive hospitalization, specialist treatment, prolonged follow-up and visual rehabilitation. The indirect, secondary economic impact of ocular trauma, due to loss of work or school days, is harder to estimate.

Various methods have been employed to collect data on ocular trauma including eye trauma registries ⁽¹⁰⁻¹¹⁾, hospital discharge data analysis ⁽¹²⁻¹⁴⁾, case series in emergency room settings ⁽¹⁵⁻¹⁶⁾, population-based interviews and questionnaires ⁽¹⁷⁾ and blindness prevalence surveys ⁽¹⁸⁾. These

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

studies cannot be compared due to difference in the research strategy, the population studied, and the nature of the data.

Moreover most previous studies on the profile and prognostic factors in ocular trauma have been conducted in developed countries where modern facilities for managing ocular trauma are widely available ⁽¹⁹⁻²⁰⁾. There is paucity of studies on the profile of ocular trauma from the developing countries ⁽²¹⁻²³⁾.

In our study we have tried to assess the profile of ocular trauma in a tertiary care centre. Such studies can play an important role not only in defining the target groups for prevention and education on ocular trauma but also to formulate various strategies to prevent and decrease the burden due to ocular trauma.

MATERIAL AND METHOD: Prospective analysis of all ocular injuries presenting to the Out Patient Department of Ophthalmology and emergency and trauma centre at Lala Lajpat Rai Hospital from 1st August 2012 to 31st October 2012 was done. After the basic life support medical and surgical interventions, cases with ocular injury component were selected for our study. Informed consent was taken. All patients with ocular trauma injuries were assessed by an ophthalmologist. Following data were documented using a uniform datasheet:

- Name
- Age/sex
- Duration since injury
- Cause of trauma
- Place of trauma
- If Road Traffic Accident (RTA)
 - Helmet worn at time of injury (Y/N)
 - Case was under influence of alcohol (Y/N)
- Clinical presentation at time of presentation
- Type of injury (open/closed)
- Extent of ocular involvement
- Subjective visual deficit at the time of presentation

OBSERVATION & RESULT: Total ocular injury cases in our study were 141.

TABLE 1: Distribution of ocular trauma with respect to gender

SEX	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Male	119	84.4
Female	22	15.6
TOTAL	141	100




TABLE 2: Distribution of ocular trauma with respect to age in pediatric group

Age group	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Infant (<1 year)	1	0.8
1-5 year	10	7.1
6-15 year	16	11.4
TOTAL	26	18.4

TABLE 3: Distribution of ocular trauma with respect to age in adult group

AGE (years)	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
16-25	38	27.0
26-35	36	25.5
36-45	19	13.5
46-55	10	7.1
56-65	7	5.0
66-75	3	2.1
Above 75	1	0.8
TOTAL	115	81.6

Out of total 141 cases 115 (81.6%) were adult (> or = 16 years) and 26 (18.4%) belonged to pediatric age group (less than 16 years).




TABLE 4: Time duration between trauma and presentation

DURATION	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Less than 4 hour	19	13.5
4 – 24 hours	83	58.9
Day 1- day 7	33	23.4
After 7 day	6	4.3




TABLE 5: Distribution of ocular trauma in adults with respect to place of trauma

PLACE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Road	81	70.4
Home	21	18.3
Workplace	10	8.7
Miscellaneous	3	2.6
TOTAL	115	100




TABLE 6: Distribution of ocular trauma in pediatric group with respect to place of trauma

PLACE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Home	21	80.8
School	3	11.5
Road	2	7.7
TOTAL	26	100

TABLE 6: Cause of trauma in adult group

CAUSE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
RTA	74	64.3
Fall from height	10	8.7
Blunt trauma	6	5.2
Penetrating	6	5.2
Electric burn	4	3.5
Blast	3	2.6
Self	3	2.6
Vegetative matter	2	1.7
Fight	2	1.7
Gun shot	1	0.9
Chemical burn	1	0.9
Bike foreign body	1	0.9
Train	1	0.9

Most common cause of trauma in adult was found to be road traffic accident accounting for 64.3% which was far more than other causes.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE


TABLE 7: Cause of trauma in pediatric age group

CAUSE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Fall	8	30.8
Blunt trauma	6	23.0
Bow and arrow	3	11.5
Play	2	7.7
RTA	2	7.7
Firework	1	3.8
Burn	1	3.8
Boiling milk burn	1	3.8
Self	1	3.8
penetrating	1	3.8

All 76 cases of RTA were questioned about following points:

Helmet used at time of trauma			UNDER INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL		
	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)		NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
YES	00	00	YES	22	28.95%
NO	76	100%	NO	54	71.05%
TOTAL	76	100%	TOTAL	76	100%

Thus none of the cases who sustained trauma due to RTA were using helmet and nearly 29% were under influence of alcohol.



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

TABLE 8: Distribution of cases on medico-legal basis

MEDICO-LEGAL CASES	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Yes	12	8.5
No	129	91.5
TOTAL	141	100




TABLE 9: Distribution of type of ocular injury

TYPE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
Open globe	18	12.8
Closed globe	123	87.2
TOTAL	141	100




TABLE 10: Spectrum of clinical presentation in ocular trauma cases

CLINICAL PRESENTATION	NUMBER
Laceration	63
Ecchymosis	43
Subconjunctival haemorrhage	26
Perforation	14
Chemosis	6
Foreign body cornea	3
Abrasion	2
Cataract	2
Orbital bone fracture	1
Ulcer	2

TABLE 11: Extent of ocular involvement:

		NUMBER		PERCENTAGE (%)
MONOCULAR		126		89.4
	Right		63	
	Left		63	
BINOCULAR		15		10.6
TOTAL		141		100




TABLE 12: Subjective visual deficit at the time of presentation:

VISUAL DEFICIT	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
No deficit	86	61.2
Unilateral deficit	20	14.2
Binocular deficit	1	0.8
Inaccessible	35	42.8
TOTAL	141	100

- Total cases included in our study were 141.
- Out of which 84.4% were male and 15.6% females.
- The mean age of presentation was 29.8years.
- Most of trauma sustained was in adult age group i.e. 81.6% while 18.4% belonged to pediatric age group (less than 16 years).
- Amongst the adults, majority of cases affected belonged to age group between 16-35 years i.e. the reproductive age group accounting for nearly 52.5%.
- Most common mode of injury among the adults was Road Traffic Accident i.e. 64.3% which was far more than any other cause.
- Children were mostly affected at home (80.8%) which was due to fall or blunt trauma, together contributing to 53.8%.
- Out of total 141 trauma cases 76 cases were due to RTA. Interestingly none of them were using helmet during injury and nearly 29% were under the influence of alcohol.
- 8.5% cases were of medico-legal importance while rest 91.5% had no legal proceedings.
- 58.9% cases arrived to our centre between 4-24 hour and 28% presented after 24 hours. Only 13.5% ocular injuries had come to seek treatment within 4 hours of trauma which is regarded as golden period for management of injuries.
- Monocular trauma was more common accounting for 89.4% than binocular trauma (10.6%). There was no side predilection in monocular cases.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

- Majority of cases were closed globe injuries. Most common clinical presentation was laceration around the globe followed by periorbital ecchymosis and subconjunctival haemorrhage.
- 61% cases had no subjective visual deficit due to trauma while 15% cases reported visual deficit due to trauma.
- Visual deficit assessment was not possible in 42.8% cases because either patient was unconscious or belonged to pre-school age or was under the influence of alcohol.

DISCUSSION: Thus our study shows that eye injuries are a matter of concern to ophthalmologist. In our study ocular trauma requiring referral to a tertiary care centre predominantly affected young males. The children and young males due to their outdoor and high-risk activities are at more risk than other groups. Our observations were in accordance to few other studies conducted on ocular trauma in Indian setup.

Mukherjee AK, Saini JS, Dabral SM (1984) carried out a similar study of 82 patients varying in age group from 5 yrs to 62 years which were hospitalized with penetrating ocular injuries. Majority of these were males (60 cases, 73.17%). 37 patients (44.91%) were less than 30 years of age. All patients had uniocular injury. ⁽²⁴⁾ In our study males 84.4% and nearly 68% cases were less than 30 year of age which is more than the compared study. 90% cases had monocular injury which is same as in above study.

Saxena R, Sinha R, Purohit A, Dada T, Vajpayee RB, Azad RV (2002) carried out a study on pediatric ocular trauma. They observed that majority of injuries occurred in children of 5 years and older (87.7%). There were 133 (65.1%) boys and 71 (34.9%) girls. Forty-nine (24%) cases presented within 6 hours of injury while 70 (34.3%) presented after more than 24 hours after trauma. Most common cause of injury was bow and arrow (15.2%) followed by household appliances (14.3%). Closed globe injuries accounted for 42.2% injuries, open globe for 53.9% and 3.9% were chemical injuries. ⁽²⁵⁾

Vats S, Murthy GV, Chandra M, Gupta SK, Vashist P, Gogoi M (2008) conducted a study on 158 participants. They found mean age at trauma was 24.2 years which is nearly same as in our study i.e. 29.4 years. Males were significantly more affected. Blunt trauma was the commonest mode of injury (41.7%). Blindness resulted in 11.4% of injured eyes. A significant association was noted between ocular trauma and workplace (Chi-square = 43.80, $P < 0.001$) and source (Chi-square = 10.88, $P = 0.028$) of ocular trauma. ⁽²⁶⁾ But in our study road traffic accident was the most common cause for ocular trauma. 61% cases had no subjective visual deficit due to trauma while 15% cases reported visual deficit due to trauma. Visual deficit assessment was not possible in 42.8% cases because either patient was unconscious or belonged to pre-school age or was under the influence of alcohol. Shah M, Shah S, Khandekar R. (2008) found that out of the 2,607 persons with ocular trauma, 'open globe' and 'closed globe' types of injuries were found in 451 and 2,156 persons respectively. Within 24 hours of injury, only 1,355 patients (52%) had consulted ophthalmologists. ⁽²⁷⁾ We had similar results with 87.2% closed globe injuries and rest 12.8% were open globe injuries. Although in our study 72.4% cases reported to ophthalmologist within 24 hour which was more than the compared study.

Thus our study shows that eye injuries are a matter of concern to ophthalmologist. The children and young males due to their outdoor and high-risk activities are at more risk than other

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

groups. As RTA has been found to be a major factor for ocular injuries, more stringent rules are required to reduce the incidence of mishaps as a whole. Increasing incidence of RTA in younger age group is due to changing lifestyle and rash driving which we often see on road. Rules like “do not drink and drive” and use of helmets and safety seat belts need strict implementation. Common people should be made aware regarding early referral to trauma unit to help in early treatment, lesser financial loss, early rehabilitation and better visual outcome. Most ocular injuries in children are preventable and occur from unsupervised games like bow and arrow and firecracker.

REFERENCES

1. Thylefors B. Epidemiologic patterns of ocular trauma. *Aust N Z J Ophthalmol* 1992; 20:95.
2. Tielsch JM, Parver L, Shankar B. Time trends in the incidence of hospitalized ocular trauma. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1989; 107:519-23.
3. Wong TY, Klein BE, Klein R. The prevalence and 5-year incidence of ocular trauma. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2000; 107:2196-202.
4. Fong LP. Eye injuries in Victoria, Australia. *Med J Aust* 1995; 162:64-8.
5. Zigelbaum BM, Tostanoski JR, Kerner DJ, Hersh PS. Urban eye trauma. A one-year prospective study. *Ophthalmology* 1993; 100:851-6.
6. Wilson MR, Wooten F, Williams J. Frequency and characteristics of ocular trauma in an urban population. *J Natl Med Assoc* 1991; 83:697-702.
7. Rapoport I, Romem M, Kinek M, et al. Eye injuries in children in Israel. A nationwide collaborative study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1990; 108:376-9.
8. Poon AS, Ng JS, Lam DS, et al. Epidemiology of severe childhood eye injuries that required hospitalization. *Hong Kong Med J* 1998; 4:371-4.
9. Babar TF, Jan S, Gul L, Tariq M, Khan MY, Zaman MD. Pattern of paediatric ocular trauma in Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar. *Pak J Med Res* 2006; 45:6-9.
10. White MF Jr, Morris R, Feist RM et al. Eye injury: Prevalence and prognosis by setting. *South Med J* 1989; 82:151.
11. Morris RE, Witherspoon CD, Helms HA Jr et al. Eye injury registry of Alabama (preliminary report): Demographics and prognosis of severe eye injury. *South Med J* 1987; 80:810.
12. Tielsch JM, Parver L, Shankar B. Time trends in the incidence of hospitalized ocular trauma. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1989; 107:519.
13. Klopfer J, Tielsch JM, Vitale S et al. Ocular trauma in the United States: Eye injuries resulting in hospitalization, 1984 through 1987. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1992; 110:838.
14. Baker RS, Wilson MR, Flowers CW et al. Demographic factors in a population-based survey of hospitalized work-related, ocular injury. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1996; 122:213.
15. Karlson TA, Klein BEK. The incidence of acute hospital-treated eye injuries. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1986; 104:1473.
16. Liggett PE, Pince KJ, Barlow W et al. Ocular trauma in an urban population. *Ophthalmology* 1990; 97:581.
17. Katz J, Tielsch JM. Lifetime prevalence of ocular injuries from the Baltimore Eye Survey. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1993; 111:1564.
18. Sommer A, Tielsch JM, Katz J et al. Racial differences in the cause-specific prevalence of blindness in east Baltimore. *N Engl J Med* 1991; 325:1412.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

19. De Juan E, Sternberg P, Michels RG. Penetrating ocular injuries; types of injuries and visual results. *Ophthalmology* 1983; 90:1318-22.
20. Esmaili B, Nanda SG, Schork A, Elnor VM. Visual outcome and ocular survival after penetrating trauma. *Ophthalmology* 1995; 102: 393-400.
21. Saxena R, Sinha R, Purohit A, Dada T, Vajpayee RB, Azad RV. Pattern of pediatric ocular trauma in India. *Ind J Pediatr* 2002; 69:863-7.
22. Pieramici DJ, Sternberg P Jr, Aaberg TM Sr et al. A system for classifying mechanical injuries of the eye (globe). The Ocular Trauma Classification Group. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1997; 123: 820-31.
23. Narang S, Gupta V, Simalandhi P, Gupta A, Raj S, Dogra MR. Paediatric open globe injuries. Visual outcome and risk factors for endophthalmitis. *Ind J Ophthalmol* 2004; 52:29-34.
24. Mukherjee AK, Saini JS, Dabral SM. A profile of penetrating eye injuries. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 1984;32:269-71
25. Saxena R, Sinha R, Purohit A, Dada T, Vajpayee RB, Azad RV. *Indian J Pediatr*. Pattern of pediatric ocular trauma in India. 2002; 69:863-7.
26. Vats S, Murthy GV, Chandra M, Gupta SK, Vashist P, Gogoi M. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. Epidemiological study of ocular trauma in an urban slum population in Delhi, India 2008; 56:313-6.
27. Shah M, Shah S, Khandekar R. Ocular injuries and visual status before and after their management in the tribal areas of Western India: a historical cohort study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2008;2 46:191-7. .

AUTHORS:

1. R. N. Kushwaha,
2. Rashmi Rastogi,
3. R. C. Gupta,
4. Priyanka Gupta,
5. Sushil Kumar.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh.
2. Lecturer, Department of Forensic Medicine, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh.
3. Professor & Head, Department of Ophthalmology, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh.
4. Post-Graduate Student, Department of Ophthalmology, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh.

5. Associate Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh.

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Rashmi Rastogi,
Department OF Forensic Medicine,
GSVM Medical College, Kanpur.
Email: dr.rashmirastogi@rediffmail.com

Date of Submission: 24/06/2013.
Date of Peer Review: 24/06/2013.
Date of Acceptance: 25/06/2013.
Date of Publishing: 05/07/2013