BRONCHOALVEOLAR LAVAGE STUDY IN PATIENTS OF SUSPECTED LUNG CANCER
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Bronchoalveolar lavage study in Bronchoscopy is done routinely during Bronchoscopy in patients suspected of lung cancer.

The aim of the study was to find out the importance of this procedure in detecting lung cancer including the co-existence of other diseases like Tuberculosis in suspicion of lung cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

It was a descriptive study of Bronchoalveolar Lavage (BAL) studies done in lung cancer suspects with negative sputum smear for Acid Fast Bacilli, presented at the Department of Respiratory Medicine of a Medical College Hospital in a remote area. The results of BAL reports recorded for all the 93 patients who presented to the department from December 2016 to May 2017 were studied. All the BAL samples were subjected to Cytology study and CBNAAT-TB (Cartridge Based Nucleic Acid Amplification Test for TB).

RESULTS

Out of the 93 BAL reports of lung cancer suspects, 21 were found to be having lung cancer alone and 3 were found to be having lung cancer with Pulmonary Tuberculosis and 2 were found to be having Pulmonary Tuberculosis.

CONCLUSION

BAL study is an important tool in detection of Lung cancer in India. It is useful for detection of Tuberculosis in patients with negative sputum smear for Acid Fast Bacilli. Our study further shows that patients presenting with symptoms and radiological findings suggestive of lung cancer are also found to be having coexisting Tuberculosis. It also reveals that TB should be a differential diagnosis of lung cancer and possibility of coexistence of both diseases should always be considered in our country.
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Clinical Presentations of Patients | Number
---|---
Air space consolidations | 69 (74%)
Collapsed lung on one side | 9 (9.6%)
Ground glass opacities | 5 (5%)
Undiagnosed pleural effusions | 4 (4%)
Cavities | 3 (3%)
Haemoptysis | 3 (3%)

Table 1. Clinical presentations of Lung Cancer suspected Patients

Out of the 93 BAL samples studied 21 were found to be having lung cancer alone, 3 were having lung cancer with Tuberculosis and 2 were having Tuberculosis alone, remaining 57 had other findings.

Total Patients | 93
---|---
Lung cancer only in BAL | 21 (23%)
Lung cancer with TB in BAL | 3 (3%)
TB only in BAL | 2 (2%)

Table 2. Results of BAL Study showing Detection of Lung Cancer and Tuberculosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Adenocarcinoma alone</th>
<th>Squamous Cell Carcinoma alone</th>
<th>Small Cell Carcinoma alone</th>
<th>Adenocarcinoma with TB</th>
<th>Squamous Cell Carcinoma with TB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55-65 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-75 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-85 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Age distribution of Results of BAL reports showing Lung Malignancy and Tuberculosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAL Reports showing Lung Cancer</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Lung cancer in BAL</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer with TB in BAL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Lung Cancer with TB among Total Lung Cancer Detected

DISCUSSION

BAL study is very helpful in detection of lung cancer in patients having symptoms and radiological findings suggestive of lung malignancy. This study indicates that a safer procedure like this must be carried out in bronchoscopy, subjecting to cytology study and CBNAAT-TB in our country. The differential diagnosis of TB should always be considered either as isolated illness or co-morbidity. The presence of TB can occur as the preceding illness, as occurrence of lung cancer as a result of pulmonary fibrosis leading to genetic damage happens. In our study, diagnostic yield of the procedure in lung cancer in patients with clinical suspicion for lung malignancy was 25%. Bronchoalveolar studies have been reported to be very useful in diagnosis of adenocarcinoma and tumors with lymphangitic or lepidic growth. A yield of 35% - 69% have been observed in one study by Semenzato G and Poletti V. The overall diagnostic yield was reported in a study ranging from 35 to 69% in another study, highest yields were seen in adenocarcinoma (59.2 percent) and alveolar cell lung cancer (80 percent). BAL was positive for malignant cells in 14 of the 30 patients (46.7%) in the study by Wongsurakiat P. The various clinical presentations in this study were lung consolidation, ground glass opacities similar to a study by Cazzato S et al.

1.9% of lung cancer patients were found to be having TB in one study, although in our study such a co-existence was 12.5%, although our study detected TB only in BAL study in sputum smear negative for AFB.

CONCLUSION

It is observed that BAL is a valuable diagnostic tool in detecting primary, pulmonary malignant neoplasms. In a country like India, BAL should always be subjected to CBNAAT-TB study also, as TB can mimic lung cancer and coexist with pulmonary malignancy, although such co-existence is rare.
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