Evaluation of Intra-Articular Administration of Platelet-Rich Plasma in the Treatment of Knee-Joint Osteoarthritis
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a very common progressive articular disease usually affecting old age people. Current treatments for knee osteoarthritis are mostly palliative and attack the symptoms rather than influencing the biochemical environment of the joint. Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) therapy is being used recently to prevent the progress of the disease in addition to palliation of symptoms. The aim of this interventional study was to assess the effect of intra-articular injection of platelet-rich plasma in 100 patients suffering from knee joint osteoarthritis, attending our pain clinic.

METHODS
A prospective interventional study was undertaken on 100 patients of American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) Physical Status 1, 2 and 3, aged 30-80 years, suffering from knee joint osteoarthritis Grade I, II and III using the Kellgren–Lawrence radiographic classification scale, attending the pain clinic who did not respond to pharmacological or physical therapy for more than three months. Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) Score was used to assess the intensity of pain and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC) Score was used to assess pain, stiffness and physical function of the joint before and after intra-articular injection of PRP at 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks.

RESULTS
The results showed improvement in both the scores from 2nd week onwards itself after injection of PRP in all groups. Grade I and II groups showed significant improvement compared to Grade III at 12 weeks, p<0.01.

CONCLUSIONS
Intra-articular PRP is effective and safe treatment to relieve pain and disability in patients suffering from knee joint osteoarthritis.
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### BACKGROUND

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic progressive disease affecting more than 20% of people older than 45 years. \(^1\) Men are more often affected than women in populations younger than 50 years. Beyond 65 years of age, however, women are affected twice as much as men. \(^2\) OA Knee is the second most common cause of loss of work performance after low back pain. \(^3\)

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a complex degenerative disease affecting all compartments of the joint. Though it is a degenerative disease, inflammatory mediators definitely have some role rather than a simple wear and tear, which affects cartilage, synovial membrane, ligaments, menisci and sub-chondral bone. \(^4\) There are numerous conservative treatments for Knee OA like NSAIDS (Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), opioids which are used to relieve pain but they only give short-term relief. \(^5\) These treatments have systemic adverse effects, cause joint cartilage destruction and flare up of the osteoarthritic process. \(^6\) Other non-operative modalities like intra-articular injections of corticosteroids, ozone, visco-supplements (Hyaluronic acid) which are used to relieve pain have inconsistent results, are costly and need repeated injections. \(^7,8,9\) Also, they have limited effect on reducing chondrocyte degeneration and improving regeneration. \(^10\)

In recent times, tissue healing has been taken into consideration to prevent progression of the disease. New studies have focused on modern therapeutic methods stimulating cartilage healing process and preventing its damage, including application of cytokine inhibitors, matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors, stem cells, gene therapy and growth factors (GF). \(^11\) Among these, GFs have been studied in vitro and in vivo to have healing effect on the cartilage with promising results. \(^12,13,14\) Significant amount of GF is present in platelet alpha granules. \(^15\) Due to this, platelet rich plasma (PRP) application has emerged as a treatment option for OA. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an autologous biologic treatment including patient’s own plasma, containing growth factors released from platelets and endogenous fibrin scaffold. \(^16\) PRP includes plasma proteins that act as mesenchymal cell adhesion molecules like fibrin, fibronectin and vitronectin which appear during recovery process following a trauma in the human body and regulate anti-inflammatory signals and equilibrate angiogenesis. \(^17\)

Recent studies state that PRP injection in OA knee joint are promising for relieving pain, improving the range of motion of the knee joint and the overall quality of life. \(^18,19\) So, we have undertaken the study where we have used PRP for OA Knee joint in 100 patients and followed them up at 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks.

### METHODS

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of R. G. Kar Medical College and Hospital. The study subjects were screened in the Pain Clinic OPD and the procedure was done in Pain Intervention OT of the Department of Anaesthesiology, R. G. Kar Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal from January 2016 to June 2016.

Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis (OA) Grade I, II and III Kellgren-Lawrence score based on American Rheumatology Criteria \(^20,21\) aged 30-80 years, ASA 1,2 and 3 not responding to conservative treatment for more than three months, were considered for this prospective interventional study. Proper consents were taken in the patient’s preferred language and the procedure was explained to the patient and their attendants. The study participants underwent proper history taking, physical examination, laboratory testing (Complete blood count with differential count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), Platelet count, Blood sugar for fasting, Uric acid, C-reactive protein (CRP)), knee radiography in standing position antero-posterior view and 30° semi flexion latero-lateral view and survey of used medications and supplements.

Patients were excluded from the study if they had any of the following criteria: Kellgren-Lawrence score 4, age more than 80 years, any systemic disorders (Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, coagulopathy, severe cardiovascular diseases, infections, immunosuppression), poly-articular disease, major axial deviation (Varus >20°, valgus >20°), active wound in the knee area, anticoagulant medications 10 days before injection, history of intra-articular injections of corticosteroids or systemic corticosteroids in the last 3 weeks before injections, previous knee operations, pregnancy, patients with Haemoglobin < 10g/dl and platelet values less than 150,000 and lastly, patient’s refusal.

For all patients, Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score for assessing pain intensity and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC) questionnaire \(^22\) for assessing pain, stiffness and physical function of the joint were used before the procedure and 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks after the procedure.

WOMAC questionnaire is recommended for OA studies by Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials, is a reliable and valid method for the assessment of patients with knee and hip OA. \(^22\) It measures pain, stiffness, and physical function in patients with knee and hip osteoarthritides. The WOMAC questionnaire consists of 24 items divided into 3 subscales:

- **Pain (5 Items)**: During walking, using stairs, in bed, sitting or lying and standing.
- **Stiffness (2 Items)**: After first waking and later in the day.
- **Physical Function (17 Items)**: Stair use, rising from sitting, standing, bending, walking, getting in/ out of a car, shopping, putting on/ taking off socks, rising from bed, lying in bed, getting in/ out of bath, sitting, getting on/off toilet, heavy household duties, light household duties.

Each question is scored from 0 to 5 with fewer scores indicating less pain and better functional status. For WOMAC, the minimum score is zero and the maximum score, which represents the highest grade of debilitation, is 96. For the process of PRP preparation, the patients were referred to the Blood Bank of R. G. Kar Medical College. In order to prepare PRP, 50 mL of blood was first collected from the patient’s upper limb cubital vein using an 18G needle, in which acid citrate dextrose solution was added as an anticoagulant. The blood sample underwent centrifugation in the Cryofuge 6000i machine at 2450 rpm for 8 minutes for separation of the erythrocytes and the concentration of platelets. The final
product was 10-20 ml of PRP with 4 to 6 times baseline platelet count (Using cell counter) was sent to the Pain Intervention OT for intra articular injection.

The patient was placed in a supine position, the knee being slightly bent with the help of a popliteal cushion. The superolateral approach was used for injection, which has been shown to be the safest, ensuring intra-articular penetration of the drug in up to 93% of cases. Under proper ultra-sound guidance and aseptic precautions, the needle was inserted at an angle of approximately 45° toward the medial joint line of the knee until reaching the "soft spot" between the patella and the femur, next to the junction of the line going through the lateral patellar edge and the line going through the superior pole of the patella. Before the PRP was injected, the piston of the syringe was drawn back slightly to ensure that the needle was properly in the joint by free aspiration flow of synovial fluid after which 6 ml of PRP was injected into the spot. After the technique, the patient’s injected site was properly bandaged with elastocrepe. They were monitored for 30 minutes to ensure there were no adverse reactions. After that they were discharged and were advised to have rest for 24 to 48 hours and limit weight-bearing on the injected joint. They were also instructed to use cold compression two times a day each time for 15 minutes. They were prescribed Paracetamol weight wise for five days for pain but were strictly prohibited to take NSAIDs and any steroids. They were also advised mild to moderate Quadriceps exercise one week after injection and increase it as tolerated. The patients were then re-assessed after 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 12 weeks using the VAS score and the WOMAC score.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical data was collected and analysed using appropriate statistical tool SPSS v23. Repeated measures ANOVA were used. p<0.05 was considered to be significant.

RESULTS
Table 1, 2, 3 show that the demographic profile of the patient and were comparable in all the groups. Figure 1 and Table 4 showed pre procedural and post procedural VAS scores at 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks. Post procedural VAS score was significantly less compared to pre procedure, p<0.01 at 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks in the three groups. Grade I and II have significant improvement in VAS score compared to Grade III at the end of 12 weeks p<0.01. Figure 2 and Table 5 showed pre procedural and post procedural WOMAC scores at 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks.

Table 1. Age and BMI of the Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (in kg/m²)*</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.6579</td>
<td>3.69790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Sex Distribution of the Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Frequency of Grading of Osteoarthritis (OA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OA Grade</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. VAS Scores Before and After Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAS PRE-VAS POST 2WK</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>Paired t-test</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. WOMAC Scores Before and After Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WOMAC POST 2WK</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>Paired t-test</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
Osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic disease defined by progressive degenerative changes of the joint and loss of cartilage on joint surfaces. The hallmark symptom of Knee OA is pain. But the presence and severity of joint pain correlate...
poorly with structural evidence of joint damage. The degeneration occurring in the joint leads to changes in the catabolic and anabolic activity of chondrocytes. As a result, other components of the joint get compromised which may lead to meniscus degeneration, sclerosis, bone deformity as well as intermittent synovial inflammation and sub-chondral tissue oedema. This disease affects the functional capacity and quality of life (QOL) in patients by producing pain, stiffness and limitation of range of motion of the joint.\textsuperscript{24}

The aim of management of knee OA is to reduce pain and stiffness, protect or regain range of motion and muscle strength, and decrease dependence in daily living activities. Thus, in recent years, cartilage supporting or improving medications have been investigated. The action of Platelet-rich Plasma is to release growth factors (GF) thereby causing healing and regeneration of cartilages.\textsuperscript{19} GF increases the synthesis of chondrocyte matrix and also stimulates chondrogenic cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{25} It reduces the activation of nuclear factor kappa B which is known to have a vital role in the pathogenesis of OA, by the inhibition of inflammatory process which is induced by interleukin-1 beta.\textsuperscript{26}

Despite its wide clinical application, only a few reports have documented results of PRP in the treatment of knee joint OA.\textsuperscript{7,16,27} In 2008 Sánchez et al. published a retrospective interventional study involving 30 patients for PRP with encouraging results.\textsuperscript{16} In 2010 Sampson et al. published a study on 14 patients with clinical and radiographic signs of OA and previous unsuccessful conservative management who received 3 PRP injections at one month apart. Evaluation was carried out for 52 weeks. VAS was significantly improved at 1-year follow-up.\textsuperscript{7} Kon et al. reported 91 patients receiving PRP injections in one-week intervals. They noted that 80\% of patients were satisfied with the treatment.\textsuperscript{28} This prospective interventional study was carried out on 100 Indian patients. The majority of the patients showed a decreasing trend of VAS and WOMAC scores from 2 weeks itself post-procedure.

Typically, pain relief starts to occur within three to four weeks and continues to improve over a period of three to six months following a PRP injection.\textsuperscript{29} The recovery time frame varies depending on what we are treating. Sometimes arthritic joints respond much faster to these injections than a patient being treated for tendonitis.\textsuperscript{30} Visual Analogue Score and WOMAC score was significantly improved in all the grades of Knee OA. Filardo et al. observed better improvement in less degenerated knee and worse outcome for older patients.\textsuperscript{30} In this study, Grade I and II showed significant improvement compared to Grade III at 12 weeks. We have observed no significant age or body weight related correlation in this small study group.

The patients did not describe long-term complications related to the procedure and no serious adverse events attributable to the treatment. The majority of the patients expressed overall satisfaction at 3 months after treatment. We have used only one injection in our cases because none of the patients complained of significant pain during 12 weeks observation period. Ayhan et al concluded even one PRP application is safe, effective and low-cost method.\textsuperscript{31} There is no guideline till date about the dose and frequency of PRP injections. 4 to 6 ml of PRP was used in most of the previous studies\textsuperscript{28} so we have used 6 ml the higher dose with an idea to avoid repeated injections in patients.

There is no standardized technique for centrifugation but double centrifuge with more than 4 to 6 times platelet count from baseline gives encouraging results.\textsuperscript{25} In this study 2450 rpm was used for 8 minutes for separation of the erythrocytes and the concentration of platelets to 4 to 6 times of baseline.

The limitation of the study was the number of patients observed, which was too small to evaluate age and body weight related correlation in the result and the duration of the interventional study which was only 12 weeks. We have only assessed the short-term effect of PRP. Further studies are needed to confirm these results and understand the mechanism of action, and to find other application modalities, with different platelet and GF concentrations and injection timing, which provide better and more durable results. The positive trends and safety profile demonstrated could potentially be used to inspire a larger, blinded, and randomized clinical trial across different age groups and populations to determine whether platelet-rich plasma is safe and effective for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Platelet-rich plasma therapy is safe and effective in relieving pain and improving functions of knee joint in patients suffering from knee osteoarthritis; particularly Grade I and Grade II (Kellgren-Lawrence score).
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