EVALUATION OF HEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS AS A POSSIBLE MARKER FOR HEAD-AND-NECK CANCER AND PRECANCEROUS CONDITIONS
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Head neck cancer (HNCA) is a major health problem, accounting for 30-40% cancers at all sites with the incidence in North-East India, where this study has been conducted is highest in the country (54.48%). As various substances alter quantitatively in the serum during tumor development, we intended to explore the changes in the haematological profile in cases of head neck squamous cell carcinoma and epithelial precursor lesions. Moreover, such study will be the first on HNCA patients in this North East region of India.

AIMS
To assess the variations of haematological and biochemical parameters in HNSCC and Epithelial precursor lesions of the head and neck.

METHODS AND MATERIAL
Blood samples from the cases were collected to quantify Hb%, hematocrit, RBC count, MCV, MCH, MCHC, TLC, RDW-CV, blood urea, creatinine and glucose levels. Using ANOVA test, the difference in various groups were compared and the significance obtained.

RESULTS
Our study showed extremely significant difference in Hb% level in both the HNSCC and EPL group from the control population. There was no significant correlation between WBC count and the development of SCC or EPL. MCHC and MCV was found to be high in majority of cases and the difference of MCHC among the three groups was found to be extremely significant. We found elevated RDW-SD levels in majority of cases in both groups while mean ESR level was very high in EPL group only. However, blood biochemistry parameters did not reveal any significant results.

CONCLUSIONS
The present study shows that among different hematological parameters, Hb%, MCHC, MCV, RDW, SD and ESR are significantly altered in HNCA and premalignant states. Present study also confirms that there is no significant correlation between WBC count and HNCA. The grossly raised MCHC, RDW-SD and ESR values in both cancerous and precancerous lesion of HNCA point out that these parameters should be considered collectively during evaluation of HNCA patients. The variations in these parameters may be useful in the prediction of malignant transformation, prognosis or in treatment progress.
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INTRODUCTION
HNCA is a major health problem accounting for 30-40% cancers at all sites while the incidence in North-East India, where this study is being conducted is higher than the rest of the country (54.48%). Biomarkers are valuable adjuncts in cancer treatment and as such many studies on blood biochemistry and hematology to explore the etiology of cancers and to establish tumor markers has been undertaken. As various substances alter quantitatively in the serum during tumor development, we intended to explore the changes in the haematological profile in HNSCC and Epithelial Precursor Lesions (EPL) cases. Moreover, such study will be the first on HNCA patients in this North East region of India.
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AIMS AND OBJECTIVES
1. To assess the variations of red blood cell indices in HNSCC and epithelial precursor lesions of the head and neck.
2. To assess the relationship between circulating white blood cell parameters with HNSCC and premalignant lesions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was carried out on 61 patients at Silchar Medical College Hospital under Department of Life Sciences, Assam University beginning from 2012 till 2014. The patients, age ranging from 20-70 years were clinically diagnosed and HNCA confirmed after histopathological examination. In our study, HNCA cases represented squamous cell carcinoma of sites and subsites in upper aerodigestive tract as per ICD10 system. Patients with muscle dystrophy, diabetes, hypertension, renal, cardiac or hepatic disease, periodontitis, previous history of malignancy or cancer treatment were excluded from the study. The demographic information regarding gender, age and personal tobacco history were prospectively collected along with clinical, biochemical and pathological data. Thirty one diagnosed cases of Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Head and Neck (HNCA) comprised of the HNCA group. The second group comprised of thirty cases of clinically diagnosed epithelial precursor lesions (viz-leukoplaikia, erythroplaka, keratosis and oral submucous fibrosis). After thorough evaluation, thirty age and sex matched subjects were selected for the control group, the mean age was 61.5±8.4 years.

These subjects had no health problems and never exposed to any cancer related treatment. The Haemoglobin (Hb), Erythrocyte Count (RBC), Packed Cell Volume (PCV), Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV), Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (MCH), Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (MCHC), RDW-CV, blood urea, creatinine and glucose levels were measured. This study was carried out after obtaining approval of the Institutional Ethical Committee of Silchar Medical College and Hospital. Each patient signed an informed consent form before participating in the study.

Sample collection and analysis: Blood samples were collected from arm vein of all the 91 subjects. Control group blood samples were also taken at the same day and same method as of the other group. Blood tests for Hb%, hematocrit, RBC count, MCV, MCH, MCHC and Total Lymphocyte Count (TLC) were done in Sysmex 500i Autoanalyser machine. RDW-CV (Red cell distribution width as coefficient of variation), expressed in % is calculated as: RDW-CV(%)=1 SD of RBC volume/MCVx100%. Statistical analysis of data were done using the GraphPad Instat for Windows software, Version 3.05 (GraphPad Inc., CA, USA). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan test were used to compare differences between groups and the significance level of p<.05 was considered. The data were displayed as means± standard deviation (SD).

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS
Demographic Pattern: Out of total 61 cases of head and neck lesion included in the study, 31 cases (Group A) were Squamous Cell Carcinoma (SCC) and 30 cases (Group B) were of Epithelial Precursor Lesion (EPL). The control group (Group C) consisted of age and sex matched disease-free population of 30 individuals. The mean age in Group A, Group B and control group were 55.7 years, 47.1 years and 61.5 years respectively. Male:female ratio for the three groups were 26:5, 18:2 and 21:9 respectively. Oropharyngeal cancer was the commonest site (48%) in group A whereas oral cavity (70%) was commonest for group B. (Table 1)

Head and Neck Subsites
In the EPL group, the highest number cases were found in oral cavity (70%) and SCC was commonest in oropharynx (48.4%). Fibrous hyperplasia was the commonest epithelial precursor lesion seen followed by moderate dysplasia. (Table 2).

Hematological Tests
WBC Count
Out of 31 patients with HNSC, 5 had an elevated WBC count of 6.8-15.0 cells/μL. No significant correlation could be found between WBC count and the development of SCC or EPL. Neutrophil count in EPL group was found to be higher than other group but this was not significant. (Table 3).

Red Blood Cell Count
The mean RBC count in SCC group and EPL group was 4.5±.9 and 4.6±.52 respectively. The difference between these groups and the control cases was statistically not significant. (Table 3).

Hemoglobin
Our study revealed extremely significant difference in Hb% level in both the SCC (11.1±1.8) and EPL group (12.4±1.8) from the control population (Fig 1).

Red Blood Cell Indices
The mean MCHC level was 29.2±3.6 in SCC group as compared to 33.8±2 in control group (Fig 1). The difference in values were not statistically significant.

Platelet Count
The mean platelet count for SCC patients were found to be 161.5±42.6, which is substantially less than the mean level in control group. This variation was highly statistically significant. Similarly, very significant difference was also noted between EPL and the SCC group. (Table 3).

Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate
Very high mean ESR was noted in the EPL group (30.9±14.8), whereas in SCC group the mean level was marginally high. When compared with the control group, the difference in levels were found to be statistically very significant. (Table 3).

Blood Glucose Level
We also assessed the blood glucose level and found mildly raised values in the EPL group, (145.9±20mg/dl). However, blood glucose levels were statistically not significant. (Table 4)

Blood Urea and Creatinine
The mean blood urea level in both the study groups were within normal range. Similarly, blood creatinine level also did not reveal any abnormality. Both these parameters when compared with the control group was not found to be statistically significant. (Table 4)
DISCUSSION

In India, HNCA is a major health problem, accounting for 30-40% cancers at all sites with its incidence in North-Eastern India at 54.48%. Potentially malignant lesions of oral cavity are relatively common occurring in about 2.5% of the population with a malignant transformation rate of 0.6 to 20% as reported in various studies and locations. Identification of molecular markers in blood would predict the development of cancer in its earliest stage or in precancerous stage. Literature scan reveals very few studies on RBC indices and role of WBC count in the diagnosis of malignancies of the head and neck. As till date no such study has been undertaken in this highly prevalent region of North-East India, our study examined the variations of these parameters in HNSCC and EPL patients and their role as biomarkers. In our study, oropharyngeal cancer was the commonest site (48%) in HNSCC group whereas oral cavity (70%) was commonest for EPL group. In western world, with an incidence of 5%, the outcome of oral squamous cell carcinomas (OSCC) has hardly shown any improvement. The annual worldwide incidence of OSCC is over 300,000 cases, accounting for 2-4% of all new cancers and its 5% for oropharyngeal cancers in the western world. In India, where the habits of chewing tobacco with betel nut, reverse smoking and heavy alcohol usage are common, its incidence is even higher.

White Blood Cell Count (WBC)

WBC is highly variable because it is responsive to diverse acute and chronic stimuli. It is elevated by infection, stress and chronic irritative exposures like smoking. But due to its nonspecificity, WBC count can predict risk for multiple diseases including cancer, coronary heart disease, or stroke. Studies have reported that the WBC count is significantly associated with risk of cancer death and total cancer mortality. However, studies on the relationship of WBC count and HNSCC are rare. Tsai et al., in his study on oral cavity cancer, showed that the peripheral total white blood cell (WBC) count, monocyte, and neutrophil counts and neutrophil lymphocyte ratio increased with the stage T4 and poor tumor differentiation. Check et al., using Ficoll-Hypaque gradient analysed the correlation of (WBC) count and the percentage of lymphoid cells in HNCA. Kuss L et al., found altered lymphocyte homeostasis in HNSCC cases which persisted for months or years after curative therapies. Kruse et al., reported that the only correlation that could be found was for T status and WBC, and that seems to have no clinical relevance and also concluded that WBC count is not a prognostic factor for recurrence of metastases. To the authors’ knowledge, our study is the first to look into the association of WBC count and HNCA. Present study revealed no significant correlation between WBC count and the development of SCC or EPL. On the other hand, significant relation between CRP and IL with tumour stage, overall outcome, and radiotherapy induced acute mucositis were noted. This may indicate that precancerous lesions are associated with inflammation, like erosive lichen which warrants investigation on the relation between inflammatory markers like CRP and WBC counts. Infact, elevated neutrophil count has been observed in our study though not statistically significant. These evidences point that in a milieu of inflammatory cells, growth factors, and activated stroma genetic damage leading to cellular proliferation is possible. The WBC analysis in our study would have been more reliable if multiple measurements were taken instead of one as WBC count shows day to day variability and if data on confounding factors like medicine intake were taken into consideration.

Erythrocyte Count

Anemia is known to influence prognosis of HNCA patients, but how anemia and epithelial precursor lesions influences each other is not clear. Most studies dealt with the effect of anemia on patients receiving radiotherapy and surgical treatment, but the present study investigates the relation of erythrocyte and iron indices to HNCA and EPL patients. Our study revealed extremely significant difference in Hb% level in both the HNSCC and EPL group from the control population. We found anemia more in SCC than in EPL groups in terms of Hb% (87.1% vs 73.3%), RBC (51.6% vs 46.7%) and HCT (74.2% vs 50%). Bhattachiri VN also reported that most of the patients were anemic in terms of Hb (63%), RBC (43%) and HCT (48.4%). This shows that anemia is associated more with SCC than normal population (33.3%, 23.3% and 53.3% respectively). Claudia Cordella reported 18.5% cases as mild anaemia and 10.1% in the severe anemia group and showed association of low Hb levels with the lymph node metastasis (p=0.005), but not the initial T status (p=0.180). Other studies suggested that prechemoradiation Hb level to be an important determinant of outcome in carcinoma esophagus. Studies by Heffer, Qiu and Cordella C have also shown that hemoglobin concentration of below 11g/dl contributes to poor prognosis. The fall in Hb level in both our study groups may be due to nutritional deficiency, impaired oral intake and socio-economic condition. Anemia could also be a marker for other risk factors, such as p53 mutation, loss of heterozygosity (LOH), HPV etc.

Thrombocytocyte Count

A lower platelet count was seen in 25.8% and 10% cases in SCC and EPL group respectively but there was no case of thromboytopenia. Lu CC reported that thrombocytosis was an independent predictor of shorter survival, but Kargus et al., did not confirm it as a marker for poor tumor prognosis.

Red Cell Distribution Width (RDW)

We also assessed RDW that measures anisocytosis, described as variability of red blood cell volume or size. RDW can be reported statistically as coefficient of variation (RDW-CV) and/or standard deviation (RDW-SD)(SD). We found elevated RDW SD levels in majority of cases in both SCC (86.7%) and EPL (80%) group and only in 33.3% of control cases. This indicates that one of the major cause of anaemia is nutritional deficiencies such as iron, folate, or vitamin B12 deficiency as RDW becomes elevated earlier than other red blood cell parameters.

Red Cell Indices

The MCH parameter ranged from normal or high in more than half of the cases of SCC and EPL group (65% vs 50% respectively). A high majority of cases had normal MCV in both SCC and EPL group (79% vs 84% respectively). The MCHC level was less than normal in 93.6% cases of SCC as compared to 70.7% reported by Bhattachiri et al. The difference of MCHC in the three groups was found to be extremely significant suggesting iron deficiency as a prominent cause of anaemia in our study population. However, this fact is not unexpected as HNSCC cases are
prone to poor nutrition because of difficulties in food intake, swallowing and absorption from tumor-related mechanisms in addition to tumor-induced metabolic abnormalities.31

**Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate**

ESR is the length in mm of clear plasma in a vertical tube after erythrocytes have settled in anticoagulated whole blood, under gravity, in one hour. It indicates severity of illness. As ESR depends on rouleaux formation, red blood cell number, size and shape, electrostatic charges and plasma viscosity.34 In normal states, sialic acid residues on red blood cells negates rouleaux formation, but during inflammation, fibrinogen, an acute-phase protein, neutralises sialic acid residues thus contributing to 60–70% of the increase in ESR.35 Other proteins which play similar role are beta-globulins, alpha-globulins, gamma-globulins and albumin. The very high mean ESR seen in premalignant states may be due to the increase in acute phase proteins mentioned above in addition to anaemia, polycythemia and advancing age of the patients.

**Blood Biochemistry**

The study confirms that HNCA per se does not have any direct effect on the blood levels of urea, creatinine and glucose. Although, kidney involvement secondary to chemotherapy and or radiation may lead to derangements in renal function.
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Raised blood sugar levels as a result of stress or any pre-existing diabetes may be encountered but elevated blood sugar levels cannot be considered as an indicator for malignancy or its transformation.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study shows that among different hematological parameters Hb%, MCHC, MCV, RDW SD and ESR are significantly altered in HNCA and premalignant states. Present study also confirms that there is no significant correlation between WBC count and the development of SCC or EPL. Although RBC count is not altered, nutritional anaemia is found to be most prominent finding in premalignant as well as cancer patients. Considering the grossly raised MCHC, RDW SD and ESR values along with low Hb%, in cancerous and precancerous lesion of HNCA. We suggest that these parameters should be considered collectively during evaluation of HNCA patients. The variations in these parameters may be useful in the prediction of malignant transformation, prognosis or treatment progress. Further study on a larger population and its response to anticancer therapy needs to be pursued before establishing these parameters as HNCA biomarkers.


---

**Table 1: Patient Characteristics in Study Population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>(n=31)</th>
<th>EPL (n=30)</th>
<th>Control (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:F</td>
<td>26:5</td>
<td>18:2</td>
<td>21:9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of HNCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Cavity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oropharynx</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypopharynx</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Distribution of Lesion in Various Head Neck Regions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPL</th>
<th>SCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypopharynx</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior pharyngeal wall</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyriform sinus</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post cricoid region</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal mucosa</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingivo-buccal sulcus</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oropharynx</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsil</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat pharyngeal wall</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVC</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraglottis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subglottis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Note: Hypopharynx includes the supraglottis.*
Table 3: ONE WAY ANOVA Analysis of Haematological Parameters

**Note:** *= p<.01 (very significant), * = p<.05 (significant), *** = p<.001 (extremely significant), ns = not significant. On comparing the different groups by Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparisons test, the corresponding letters indicate the significance level between groups as follows: f,m: p<.05 (significant), a,a1,a2,g:P<.01 (Very significant), b,b1,c,c1,c2,c3,d2 : p<.001 (extremely significant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal Range</th>
<th>EPL N=30</th>
<th>SCC N=31</th>
<th>Control N=30</th>
<th>P value(&lt;.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLC (10^3/UL)</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>7.2±.8</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>7.6± 2.1</td>
<td>0.7701 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil (%)</td>
<td>1.8 - 7</td>
<td>7.6 ± 13.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>4.9± 1.6</td>
<td>0.3380 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte (%)</td>
<td>1 - 3.5</td>
<td>3.2± 3.9</td>
<td>1.8±11.1</td>
<td>2.7± .8</td>
<td>0.0649 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>4.6-6.0</td>
<td>4.6± .52</td>
<td>4.5 ± 9</td>
<td>4.5± 5</td>
<td>0.7154 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>40.6± 4.2</td>
<td>38.3±11.1</td>
<td>40.8± 5.5</td>
<td>0.3645 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>80-100 IL</td>
<td>88±3.6</td>
<td>83.1 ± 18.2</td>
<td>87.9± 4.7</td>
<td>0.1611 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>27±31 pg</td>
<td>27.1± 2.8</td>
<td>26.7±5.1</td>
<td>27.7±1.3</td>
<td>0.5182 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC</td>
<td>32-36 g/dL</td>
<td>31.7± 2.3</td>
<td>29.2±3.6</td>
<td>33.8±2a1,b</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW SD</td>
<td>39-46 IL</td>
<td>49.8±4.42</td>
<td>48.8±4.35</td>
<td>45.6±4.6m,d2</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW CV</td>
<td>11.6-14.6%</td>
<td>14.5± .82</td>
<td>14.7±9.62</td>
<td>14.1±5.2</td>
<td>0.0075 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td>150 - 400</td>
<td>227.7±66.6</td>
<td>161.5±42.6</td>
<td>244.3±62.2c</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb%</td>
<td>13.5 - 17.5</td>
<td>12.4±1.8</td>
<td>11.1±1.8g,c1</td>
<td>13.6±1.3c1</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mmAEF)</td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>30.9±14.8c2,c3</td>
<td>19.3±8.5c2,d</td>
<td>5.1±1.9c3,d</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea</td>
<td>15-45</td>
<td>22.08±4.79</td>
<td>23.75±11.38</td>
<td>24.2±5.5</td>
<td>0.546(ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Creatinine</td>
<td>4-1.4</td>
<td>.96± .28</td>
<td>.86±31</td>
<td>76±5.4</td>
<td>0.144(ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sugar Fasting</td>
<td>&lt;100 mg/dL</td>
<td>89.21±12.28</td>
<td>94.74±11.45</td>
<td>92.5±16.08</td>
<td>0.2742(ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sugar Post Prandial</td>
<td>&lt;140 mg/dL</td>
<td>145.9±20</td>
<td>126.1±50.79</td>
<td>137.6±18.25</td>
<td>0.0745(ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Blood Biochemistry Results in Different Study Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal Range</th>
<th>EPL N=30</th>
<th>SCC N=31</th>
<th>Control N=30</th>
<th>P value(&lt;.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea</td>
<td>15-45</td>
<td>22.08±4.79</td>
<td>23.75±11.38</td>
<td>24.2±5.5</td>
<td>0.546(ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Creatinine</td>
<td>4-1.4</td>
<td>.96± .28</td>
<td>.86±31</td>
<td>76±5.4</td>
<td>0.144(ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sugar Fasting</td>
<td>&lt;100 mg/dL</td>
<td>89.21±12.28</td>
<td>94.74±11.45</td>
<td>92.5±16.08</td>
<td>0.2742(ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sugar Post Prandial</td>
<td>&lt;140 mg/dL</td>
<td>145.9±20</td>
<td>126.1±50.79</td>
<td>137.6±18.25</td>
<td>0.0745(ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Level of Hemoglobin and MCHC Levels in Different Groups