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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The advantage of IV Esmolol due to its ultra-short action seem to be ideal to control intense but brief sympathetic stimulation following endotracheal intubation, inspired us to conduct a study in which we compared the three doses of Esmolol to attenuate the haemodynamic stress response during Laryngoscopy and Endotracheal intubation.

AIM

This study was done to compare the varying doses of IV Esmolol in attenuating the haemodynamic stress response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Sixty ASA I and II patients undergoing elective surgical procedure under general anaesthesia with endotracheal intubation were included in this study. Patients belonging to age group 20-50 years of both the sexes were included. It is prospective double blind randomized study. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee and was randomly grouped into three groups. Group A (Esmolol 5 mg/kg) 20 patients were given Esmolol 0.5 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation. Group B (Esmolol 1.0 mg/kg) –20 Patients were given Esmolol 1 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation. Group C (Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg) 20 patients were given Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Heart rate, systolic Blood pressure, Diastolic pressure and mean arterial pressure were recorded using MS Excel software and analysed using STATA software for determining the statistical significance. ANOVA test was used to determine the significance among three groups. Student’s ‘t’ test was used to compare the three groups in mean values of various parameters. The P value taken for signification is <0.05.

RESULTS

The dose of Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg (Group C) to be effective in attenuating the haemodynamic responses during laryngoscopy and ET intubation with no major adverse effects when compared to 0.5 and 1.0 mg/kg.

CONCLUSION

We found that the dose of Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg (Group C) to be effective in attenuating the haemodynamic responses during laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation with no major adverse effects of Esmolol.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1940, Reid and Brace first described haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation. Laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation are mandatory for most patients undergoing general anaesthesia, which is invariably associated with certain cardiovascular changes such as tachycardia or bradycardia, rise in blood pressure and a wide variety of cardiac arrhythmias. These effects are deleterious in susceptible individuals culminating in pe hyperemia, acute heart failure and cerebrovascular accidents.

The haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation has been recognized since 1951. The induction of anaesthesia, laryngoscopy and intubation and surgical stimulation often evoke cardiovascular response characterized by alterations in systemic arterial pressure, pulse rate and cardiac rhythm. The response following laryngoscopy and intubation peaks at 1.2 minute and returns to normal within 5-10 minutes.

Though these sympathoadrenal responses are probably of little consequence in healthy individuals, it is hazardous to those patients with hypertension, coronary heart disease, intracranial pathology and hyper-reactive airways. In such cases reflex circulatory responses such as increase in heart rate, systemic arterial blood pressure and disturbances in cardiac rhythm needs to be arrested. Prof. King et al (1951), documented myocardial ischaemic changes due to reflex sympathoadrenal response immediately following laryngoscopy and intubation with a mean rise in systemic pressure of 40 mmHg even in normotensive individuals.
Various systemic as well as topical agents were used to reduce these untoward haemodynamic responses due to laryngoscopy and intubation. Those techniques which require prior laryngoscopy to the local anaesthetic solution are likely to be of limited value. The common strategies adopted are narcotics, vasodilators, beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, lidocaine and other sympatholytics.

IV Esmolol due to its ultra-short action seems to be ideal to control intense but brief sympathetic stimulation following endotracheal intubation. The above study was done in the Department of Anaesthesiology, Chengalpattu Medical College, Chengalpattu.

METHODS AND MATERIALS
Sixty ASA I and II patients undergoing elective surgical procedure under general anaesthesia with endotracheal intubation were included in this study.

Patients belonging to age group 20-50 years of both the sexes were included.

It is prospective double blind randomized study. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee and was randomly grouped into three groups.

**Group A:** (Esmolol 5 mg/kg) 20 patients were given Esmolol 0.5 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation.

**Group B:** (Esmolol 1.0 mg/kg) 20 patients were given Esmolol 1 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation.

**Group C:** (Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg) 20 patients were given Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation.

The study was done during the period from May 2015 to November 2015 in the Department of Anaesthesiology, Chengalpattu Medical College, Chengalpattu.

**Inclusion Criteria**
- ASA I and II.
- Age 20-50 yrs.
- All cases requiring GA.

**Exclusion Criteria**
- Difficult intubation cases.
- Esmolol contraindications.
- Not meeting inclusion criteria.
- Patients on beta blockers.
- Patients with full stomach.
- Patients posted for Emergency surgery.
- Hypertension, Diabetes, Ischaemic heart disease.

**Pre-Operative Preparation**
All the patients were admitted and they underwent relevant investigations. Preoperatively informed and written consent was obtained from the patient.

**Haemogram, Bleeding Time, Clotting Time**

**Blood**
- Urea.
- Sugar.

**Serum**
- Creatinine.
- Electrolytes.
- X-ray Chest.

- Electrocardiogram.

Other relevant investigations were obtained on the basis of the condition of the patient.

**Anaesthesia Protocol**
- Pre-Operative visit was done to allay anxiety and good rapport was established with the patient.
- All the patients were given preoperative night sedation with tablet Diazepam 10 mg and antacid prophylaxis with table Ranitidine 150 mg orally.

**Premedication**
All the patients were premedicated with Inj. Glycopyrrolate 4 µg/kg body weight, intramuscularly, 45 minutes before surgery. Basal pulse rate and blood pressure were recorded.

**Monitoring**
Patient shifted to operating table after 45 minutes. In the operating room patients were connected to baseline monitors, then intravenous access established with 18-gauge cannula and intravenous fluids started. Pulse rate, Blood pressure, ECG and SpO2 were recorded.

**Pre-oxygenation**
Pre-oxygenation was done with 100% oxygen for 3 minutes.

**Administration of Study Drug**
Inj. Fentanyl 12 µg/kg IV given three minute prior to induction. The study drug was taken in a 20 mL syringe and diluted to 20 mL and given as bolus over 15-20 seconds two minutes before intubation. One minute later, anaesthesia was induced with 2.5% Inj. Thiopentone sodium 5 mg/kg IV. Inj. Succinylcholine 1.5 mg/kg IV given. After satisfying muscle relaxation, the patient was intubated with appropriate size endotracheal tube after doing a proper laryngoscopy within 10-15 seconds. Conditions where prolongation of laryngoscopy time due to difficult intubation, these patients were excluded from the study. Endotracheal tube was secured after confirming bilateral air entry. Anaesthesia maintained with N2O and O2 (66.7%; 33.3%) and IPPV was done. The ETCO2 was maintained at the pressure of 30-35 mmHg. The whole intraoperative and post-operative periods were uneventful.

**OBSERVATION AND RESULTS**
Sixty patients under this study were categorized into three groups. They comprised of both sexes with age ranging from 20-50 years.

The age, sex and body mass index were equal in all the three groups. P value was not significant in the study done (p > 0.05).

At intake of study, there is no significant difference on age and BMI of patients among the groups.

**The Groups are,**
- **Group A:** (Esmolol 0.5 mg/kg): Twenty patients were given Esmolol 0.5 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation as a bolus.
- **Group B:** (Esmolol 1.0 mg/kg): Twenty patients were given Esmolol 1 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation as a bolus.
- **Group C:** (Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg): Twenty patients were given Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg IV 2 minutes before intubation as a bolus.
Heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial pressure were measured before premedication, after premedication, during administration of the study drug, during induction, during intubation, after intubation and following for about 7 minutes after laryngoscopy and intubation for every minute.

Table I, II, III and IV shows the heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial pressure comparisons between the three groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart Rate</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Arterial Pressure</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison of Variables on the Three Groups**

**Heart Rate and Rhythm**

In Group A, the rise in heart rate was about 18% from the baseline values during and following intubation and it took longer time to reach the baseline values. There was a rise of about 16 beats per minute from baseline following laryngoscopy and intubation.

In Group B, there was an initial fall in heart rate following bolus dose of Esmolol and there was a rise of about 8 beats per minute following laryngoscopy and intubation. The rise in heart rate was about 12% from the baseline following laryngoscopy and intubation. It returned back to baseline value at the sixth minute.

In Group C, the rise in heart rate was modest of about 2-3 beats per minute and the values returned to baseline values at the fourth minute. The rise was 5% from the baseline values with least fluctuation in heart rate during the study period. There was a decline in heart rate at fourth minute and a further decline was observed at sixth and seventh minute from the baseline values.

There is no statistical significance among the mean value of heart rate at the pre-medication time (p >0.05). But it is significantly different during administration of Esmolol bolus injection, intubation during and for about seven minutes following laryngoscopy and intubation. It was significantly lower in Group C than in Groups A and B (p <0.001). The initial fall in Group B is because of its direct action on cardiac conducting system.

There was no record of arrhythmias in any of the patients in any of the group. This is probably because of all the patients are of ASA Class I and II with no history of hypertension or no other cardiac ailments.

**BLOOD PRESSURE**

**Systolic Blood Pressure**

There is no statistical significance on mean value among the three groups at pre-medication and during administration of Esmolol bolus (p >0.05). But, it is statistically significant on all other period of study (p <0.001) in between the three groups. There is 31%, 24% and 18% increase from baseline during the operation in group A, group B and group C respectively. The rise in the systolic blood pressure is comparatively less in Group C from the Groups A and B. Higher mean value reached at intubation in all 3 groups.

**Diastolic Blood Pressure**

There is no statistical significance on mean on Diastolic Blood Pressure at Pre-Medication and Esmolol (p >0.05). But, it is statistically significant during induction (P <0.05). It is also statistically significant from the period of intubation to the end of study period (p <0.001). There is up to 27%, 22% and 17% increase from baseline during the operation in group A, group B and group C respectively. Higher mean value reached at intubation in all the groups.

**Mean Arterial Pressure**

There is no statistical significance on mean value of MAP up to induction during the period of study (p >0.05). But it is statistically significant after the induction till the end of the study period (p <0.001). There is up to 29%, 22% and 17% increase from baseline during the operation in group A, group B and group C respectively. Higher mean value reached at intubation in all 3 groups.

**Statistical Analysis**

Heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic pressure and mean arterial pressure were recorded using MS Excel Software and analysed using STATA software for determining the statistical significance.

ANOVA test was used to determine the significance among three groups. Student’s ‘t’ test was used to compare the three groups in mean values of various parameters. The P value taken for signification is <0.05.
**DISCUSSION**

Laryngoscopy and intubation produces haemodynamic stress response characterized by hypertension and tachycardia. This neuroendocrine response causes a variety of complications in patients with cardiac disease due to imbalance between myocardial oxygen supply and demand.
like ST changes, ventricular arrhythmias and pulmonary oedema. This is also hazardous in patients with vascular pathologies that cause weakening of the lining of the major arteries in particular cerebral and aortic aneurysms. In patients with hydrocephalus or intracranial mass lesions, the increase in cerebrospinal fluid pressure may produce transient impairment of cerebral perfusion.

Direct laryngoscopy that does not exceed 15 seconds duration is helpful in minimizing the blood pressure elevation evoked by this painful stimulus. In view of the frequent occurrence of hypertension and tachycardia during laryngoscopy even in the normotensive individual, it is perhaps rather surprising that complications have not been met very often. Reason for this may be the transient nature of the hypertension, which usually lasts for less than ten minutes. It is possible however that some of the complications that occur during intubation or even later in the course of anaesthesia may be precipitated by an episode of hypertension and tachycardia following endotracheal intubation. This reflex response may be diminished or modified locally, centrally or peripherally and attempts have been made to accomplish this with varying success by different techniques and agents. No effective drug has been found out so far to abolish this response. Ebert TJ and Bernstein JS (1990), Helfman SM et al (1991), Sheppard et al (1990) compared different bolus dose to Esmolol and concluded that attenuation of intubation response is adequate following 100 mg of Esmolol. Miller D R et al. (1991) in their Canadian multicentre trial involving 548 patients concluded that 100 mg bolus Esmolol is safe and effective agent. This dose of Esmolol combined with low dose of Fentanyl (2-3 mcg/kg) results in effective control of both heart rate and blood pressure while avoiding important side effect. Miller D R et al. (1991) also had similar results of Miller D R et al. Vucovic M et al. (1992) studied about the use of Esmolol for management of cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation and found that pressor response to laryngoscopy was significantly less marked in patients given esmolol 2 minutes before intubation, which was similar to our timing of drug administration.

Vucovic M et al. (1992) concluded randomized control trial with 500 mcg/kg/min for 2 minutes and maintenance 100 mcg/kg/min till intubation and showed that heart rate, systolic blood pressure were significantly decreased in Esmolol group.

Kovac et al. (1992) concluded that in an eye patient with coronary artery disease or in any patient whom increase in heart rate may be detrimental, Esmolol may be a useful adjunct in combination with low-dose allantoin to attenuate the increase in heart rate due to laryngoscopy and intubation. Yuan L, Chia YY, (1994) studied the efficiency of bolus dose Esmolol in blunting the stress response comparing 100 mg Esmolol versus 200 mg Esmolol. They concluded that both bolus dose of Esmolol could effectively attenuate the increase the heart rate, hypertension produced by laryngoscopy and intubation; furthermore, Esmolol 200 mg presented a better haemodynamic stability than 100 mg Esmolol. In our study Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg provided better haemodynamic control than Esmolol 1 mg/kg bolus. Sharma S, Ghania A. (1995) also concluded adequate haemodynamic control was obtained with the administration of Esmolol bolus 2 mg/kg. Weist D et al. (1995) made a review of therapeutic efficacy and pharmacokinetic characteristics of Esmolol. Singh H et al. (1995) in their study concluded that Lidocaine 1.5 mg/kg IV and Nitroglycerin 2 mcg/kg IV were effective in controlling the acute haemodynamic response following laryngoscopy and intubation. Esmolol 1.4 mg/kg was significantly more effective than either Lidocaine or Nitroglycerin in controlling heart rate or mean arterial pressure increase during intubation.

Feng C K et al. (1996) concluded that Esmolol only could reliably offer protection against increase in both heart rate and systolic blood pressure. Low dose Fentanyl (2 mcg/kg) prevented heart rate, but no increase in heart rate and 2 mg/kg lidocaine had no effect. Wang L et al. (1999) concluded that 1.2 mg/kg bolus of Esmolol is effective and safe. We also used Esmolol in the range of 0.5 mg/kg to 1.5 mg/kg, which was also safe. Bensky et al. (2000) in their study concluded that small dose of Esmolol may block the increase in heart rate and blood pressure resulting from laryngoscopy and intubation.

CONCLUSION

On taking into consideration the criteria which we chose to study the haemodynamic changes expected, we found that the dose of Esmolol 1.5 mg/kg (Group C) to be effective in
attenuating the haemodynamic responses during laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation with no major adverse effects of Esmolol.
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